CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors

9:30 a.m
Wednesday, February 26, 2014
Lowell H. Lebermann, Jr., Board Room
3300 N. IH-35, Suite 300
Austin, Texas 78705

## AGENDA

## No action on the following:

1. Welcome and opening remarks by the Chairman and members of the Board of Directors.
2. Opportunity for Public Comment - See Notes at the end of this agenda.

## Discuss, consider, and take appropriate action on the following:

3. Approve the minutes for the January 29, 2014, Regular Board Meeting.
4. Authorize installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Hero Way with the northbound and southbound 183A frontage roads.
5. Authorize installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Scottsdale Drive with the northbound and southbound 183A frontage roads.
6. Authorize the Executive Director to issue certain directive letters to Central Texas Mobility Constructors under the design-build comprehensive development agreement for the Manor Expressway.
7. Approve an amendment to the Policy Code to adopt the exceptions authorized by state law from procurement requirements for a county.
8. Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the Manor Expressway project.
9. Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the MoPac South project.

[^0]10. Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the 183 North project.
11. Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the MoKan Corridor project.
12. Approve the financial statements for January 2014.

Briefing and discussion with no action proposed on the following:
13. Briefing on procedures to establish the speed limit for Mobility Authority roadways.
14. Executive Director's report
A. Project Status Updates, including communications update on the MoPac Improvement Project
B. Traffic lights at 183A frontage roads and Hero Way.
C. Board Retreat.
D. Update on Carma Pilot Project.
E. Update on smartphone app to address MoPac-related congestion.

## Executive Session

Under Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code, the Board may recess into a closed meeting (an executive session) to deliberate any item on this agenda if the Chairman announces the item will be deliberated in executive session and identifies the section or sections of Chapter 551 that authorize meeting in executive session. A final action, decision, or vote on a matter deliberated in executive session will be made only after the Board reconvenes in an open meeting.

The Board may deliberate the following items in executive session if announced by the Chairman:
15. Discuss legal issues related to claims by or against the Mobility Authority, pending or contemplated litigation and any related settlement offers; or other matters as authorized by $\S 551.071$ (Consultation With Attorney).
16. Discuss legal issues relating to procurement and financing of Mobility Authority transportation projects, as authorized by $\S 551.071$ (Consultation With Attorney).

Reconvene in Open Session.
17. Authorize issuing a request for qualifications for a design-build contract to develop the Bergstrom Expressway (183 South) Project, and designate Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering, as the Authority's representative for that procurement process and for the duration of the work on the project.

## 18. Adjourn Meeting.

## NOTES

Opportunity for Public Comment. At the beginning and at the end of the meeting, the Board provides a period of up to one hour for public comment on any matter subject to the Mobility Authority's jurisdiction. Each speaker is allowed a maximum of three minutes. A person who wishes to address the Board should sign the speaker registration sheet before the beginning of the public comment period. If a speaker's topic is not listed on this agenda, the Board may not deliberate the speaker's topic or question the speaker during the open comment period, but may direct staff to investigate the matter or propose that an item be placed on a subsequent agenda for deliberation and possible action by the Board. The Board may not deliberate or act on an item that is not listed on this agenda.
Public Comment on Agenda Items. A member of the public may offer comments on a specific agenda item in open session if he or she signs the speaker registration sheet for that item before the Board takes up consideration of the item. The Chairman may limit the amount of time allowed for each speaker. Public comment unrelated to a specific agenda item must be offered during the open comment period.
Meeting Procedures. The order and numbering of agenda items is for ease of reference only. After the meeting is convened, the Chairman may rearrange the order in which agenda items are considered, and the Board may consider items on the agenda in any order or at any time during the meeting.
Persons with disabilities. If you plan to attend this meeting and may need auxiliary aids or services, such as an interpreter for those who are deaf or hearing impaired, or if you are a reader of large print or Braille, please contact Jennifer Guernica at (512) 996-9778 at least two days before the meeting so that appropriate arrangements can be made.


# AGENDA ITEM \#1 SUMMARY 

Welcome, Opening Remarks and Board Member Comments.

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

Welcome, Opening Remarks and Board Member Comments

Board Action Required: NO


CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

Open Comment Period for Public Comment - At the beginning of the meeting, the Board provides a period of up to one hour for public comment on any matter subject to CTRMA's jurisdiction. Each speaker is allowed a maximum of three minutes. A person who wishes to address the Board should sign the speaker registration sheet before the beginning of the open comment period. If the speaker's topic is not listed on this agenda, the Board may not deliberate the topic or question the speaker during the open comment period, but may direct staff to investigate the subject further or propose that an item be placed on a subsequent agenda for deliberation and possible action by the Board. The Board may not act on an item that is not listed on this agenda.

Public Comment on Agenda Items - A member of the public may offer comments on a specific agenda item in open session if he or she signs the speaker registration sheet for that item before the Board's consideration of the item. The Chairman may limit the amount of time allowed for each speaker. Public comment unrelated to a specific agenda item must be offered during the open comment period.

Board Action: NO


## AGENDA ITEM \#3 SUMMARY

Approve the minutes for the January 29, 2014 Regular Board Meeting.

Department:
Associated Costs:
Funding Source:
Board Action Required:
Description of Matter:
The Minutes for the January 29, 2014 Regular Board Meeting
Attached documentation for reference:
Draft Minutes for the January 29, 2014 Regular Board Meeting
Contact for further information:
Andrew Martin, General Counsel

## MINUTES

# Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

Wednesday, January 29, 2014
8:30 A.M.

The meeting was held in the Mobility Authority's Lowell H. Lebermann, Jr., Board Room at 3300 N. Interstate 35, \#300, Austin, Texas 78705-1849. Notice of the meeting was posted January 24, 2014 at the respective County Courthouses of Williamson and Travis Counties; online on the website of the Secretary of State; online on the website of the Mobility Authority; and in the Mobility Authority's office lobby at 3300 N . Interstate 35, \#300, Austin, Texas 78705-1849.

## 1. Welcome and Opening Remarks by Chairman Ray Wilkerson.

Chairman Ray Wilkerson called the meeting to order at 8:43 a.m. and called the roll. Directors present at the time the meeting was called to order were Mr. Jim Mills, Mr. David Singleton, Ms. Nikelle Meade, and Mr. David Armbrust. Mr. Charles Heimsath joined the dais prior to discussion of item 6. Mr. Bob Bennett joined the dais prior to discussion of item 7.

## 2. Open Comment Period.

No public comments were offered during the Open Comment Period.
3. Approve the minutes for the December 18, 2013, Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors.

Chairman Ray Wilkerson presented for Board consideration the minutes for the December 18, 2013, Regular Board Meeting. Mr. Jim Mills moved to approve the minutes as drafted, and Ms. Nikelle Meade seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously 5-0, and the minutes were approved as drafted.

## 4. Award a contract for crack sealing on 183A.

Mr. Wes Burford presented this item. It is recommended that the contract be awarded to the lowest responsive bidder, D.I.J. Construction, Inc. Following submittal and review of the contract bond and insurance documents, the contract will be executed and a Notice to Proceed can be issued.

Mr. Charles Heimsath moved for approval, and Mr. Jim Mills seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 5-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.
5. Approve a new work authorization with Atkins North America, Inc., to provide general engineering consultant services for development of the Bergstrom Expressway project.

Mr. Wes Burford presented this item. Atkins North America, Inc. will provide General Engineering Consultant services related to project activities required to assist the Mobility Authority in the development of the Bergstrom Expressway. These efforts will include, but not be limited to, Project management, administrative tasks, and program oversight including: coordination with TxDOT, Consultants, Resource Agencies, TxDOT Environmental Affairs Division, and the FHWA as required for permitting, environmental approval, Schematic Design Review, Design Alternative Analysis, Toll Systems Design support, Public Involvement support, advancement of utility relocations, procurement management services and additional activities as specifically requested by the Authority.

Mr. Charles Heimsath moved for approval, and Mr. David Armbrust seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 5-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.
6. Approve a work authorization with Telvent USA LLC relating to design, development, testing, and installation of a dynamic tolling system for the MoPac Improvement Project.

Mr. Tim Reilly presented this item. This proposed work authorization with Telvent USA LLC provides for the design, development, installation and testing of a dynamic tolling system and related Intelligent Transportation System for the MoPac Improvement Project. The System will be an all-electronic system that uses a dynamic pricing structure to manage operations of the Express Lanes and will be fully compatible with the toll collection systems which have been designed and implemented for 183A and the Manor Expressway.

Mr. Jim Mills moved for approval, and Ms. Nikelle Meade seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 6-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.
7. Approve an amendment to the toll system implementation contract with Telvent USA LLC to extend the length of that contract and increase total compensation payable for services provided under the contract.

Mr. Tim Reilly presented this item. The amendment will increase the maximum compensation payable under the Contract to $\$ 33,575,185.11$, and will extend the term of the Contract to 180 days after the contractor completes all services authorized and required of all work authorizations and approved change orders.

Mr. Bob Bennett moved for approval, and Ms. Nikelle Meade seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, $7-0$, and the resolution was approved as drafted.
8. Authorize the Executive Director to negotiate a proprietary purchase from Metropia, Inc., for software and smartphone application to manage traffic congestion resulting from construction of the MoPac Improvement Project.

Mr. Tim Reilly presented this item. Metropia, Inc., provides a unique incentive based traffic congestion management software and smartphone application that assists its users in avoiding traffic delays by providing real-time traffic information, but also a prediction of near-time future
congestion. Travelers are provided with an incentive to contribute to lessening traffic congestion by changing their travel time to a less-congested time period or by choosing an alternative route offered by the application instead of a congested route. Metropia, Inc., was the only respondent to a Request for Information issued by the Mobility Authority for incentivebased congestion management software. This application is being considered to assist in managing and routing traffic during the construction of the MoPac Improvement Project and continuing to assist in managing traffic corridors throughout the Central Texas Region.

Mr. Jim Mills moved for approval, and Mr. Bob Bennett seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 7-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.
9. Approve an expedited administrative change order approval process for use with the MoPac Improvement Project.

Item 9 was deferred until after item 17.
10. Approve an amendment to the Investment Policy [Article I, Chapter 2 of the Policy Code] following the annual review of that policy.

Ms. Cindy Demers presented this item. The Public Funds Investment Act (PFIA) requires governing bodies to annually adopt its investment policy. The only change to the policy we are recommending this year is allowing the maximum maturity for individual securities to be up to 5 years to the extent cash flows allow. Any maturity greater than 5 years would require Board approval.

Ms. Nikelle Meade moved for approval, and Mr. Bob Bennett seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 7-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.
11. Approve an updated list of financial institutions and brokers authorized to provide investment services to the Mobility Authority.

Ms. Cindy Demers presented this item. Sec. 201.011 of the Mobility Authority's Policy Code provides that "financial institutions and qualified brokers authorized to provide investment services and engage in investment transactions with the authority" shall be approved by separate resolution of the Board of Directors.

This item updates the Mobility Authority's current list of authorized financial institutions and investment brokers.

Mr. Charles Heimsath moved for approval, and Ms. Nikelle Meade seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 7-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.

## 12. Approve the financial statements for December, 2013.

Ms. Cindy Demers presented this item. There was nothing unusual to report for the December financial statements.

Mr. Bob Bennett moved for approval, and Ms. Nikelle Meade seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 7-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.

## Briefing and discussion on the following:

## 13. Quarterly Briefing on the MoPac Improvement Project.

Ms. Heather Reavey presented this item. Weekly task force meetings continue, water quality maintenance plans and tree protections plans were submitted to the oversight team and reviewed. Final designs and early release for construction were completed for Segments 2B and 3. Surveying and geotechnical soil borings were completed by CH2M Hill's team, and data was finalized. Erosion control measures are being installed along the corridor in preparation for constructions, and fabrication of pre-cast concrete barrier rail continues. TxDOT negotiated an agreement to remediate asbestos coating present on bridges in advance of CH 2 M Hill demolition and widening activities. The project is on schedule.

## 14. Quarterly Briefing on the Manor Expressway Project.

Mr. Alastair Miller presented this item. Phase I is completed and currently open to tolled traffic. Central Texas Mobility Constructors has completed much of the frontage road construction for Phase II. Eastbound and westbound mainlane construction has seen significant progress. Utility relocation continues. Substantial completion is anticipated for May 2014. Phase II of the Manor Expressway Project supported 494 jobs during the month of December 2013. Some sustainability initiatives include solar-powered traffic control devices, pavement and topsoil reuse, recycled fill, wood and steel recycling, utilization of reclaimed or non-treated water, separating waste for landfills, and equipment emission reduction.

## 15. Executive Director's report.

Chairman Wilkerson congratulated Mr. David Singleton, Mr. Charles Heimsath, and Mr. David Armbrust on being reappointed

Mr. Mike Heiligenstein presented this item and announced the Board Workshop would be held in March 2014. IBTTA has a legislative conference in March in DC.

## Executive Session Pursuant to Government Code, Chapter 551

Chairman Wilkerson announced in open session at 9:51 a.m. that the Board would recess the open meeting and reconvene in Executive Session to deliberate the following item:
16. Discuss legal issues related to claims by or against the Mobility Authority; pending or contemplated litigation and any related settlement offers; or other matters as authorized by §551.071 (Consultation With Attorney).

The Board reconvened in open meeting at 10:46 a.m., and Chairman Wilkerson announced that no action was taken in Executive Session.
9. Approve an expedited administrative change order approval process for use with the MoPac Improvement Project.

Mr. Andy Martin presented this item. This item authorizes the Executive Director to approve
any change orders, in any amount, to the design/build contract with CH2MHill for development of the MoPac Improvement Project. Due to the importance of completing the MoPac Improvement Project on schedule, the Executive Director recommends that the Board authorize his approval of a change order to CH2MHill in any amount within contingency reserves without specific prior Board action.

Chairman Wilkerson amended the resolution to authorize the Executive Director to approve change orders to the design build contract with CH2MHill for the MoPac Improvement Project, with the approval of the Executive Committee, in the amount above $\$ 300,000$ not to exceed $\$ 5$ million in additional costs to the Mobility Authority without prior Board consideration or approval of such change order.

Mr. Bob Bennett moved for approval, and Ms. Nikelle Meade seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 7-0, and the draft resolution was approved as amended by Chairman Wilkerson.

## 18. Approve an agreement with Hill Country Constructors to close out the construction contract for 183A.

Mr. Andy Martin presented this item. 183A Project is complete and staff recommends approval to close out the construction contract with Hill Country Constructors.

Ms. Nikelle Meade recused herself from this item. Mr. David Singleton moved for approval, and Mr. Bob Bennett seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously, 6-0, and the resolution was approved as drafted.

## 19. Adjourn Meeting.

Chairman Ray Wilkerson declared the meeting adjourned at 10:49 a.m. with unanimous consent.


## CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## AGENDA ITEM \#4 SUMMARY

Authorize installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Hero Way with the northbound and southbound 183A frontage roads.

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility
Department:
Associated Costs:

Funding Source:
Board Action Required:

## Engineering

approximately $\$ 100,000$ (most materials already owned by Mobility Authority)

General Funds

Yes

Description of Matter:
The intersection of 183A and Hero Way is currently stop-controlled. A Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis was performed on January 16, 2014 and indicates that a signal is warranted.

The results of the warrant are based on the data collected in accordance with the TxDOT standard process for signals. The peak hour criteria (Warrant 3 - Peak Hour) were met. It is recommended that a traffic signal be installed.

Reference documentation: Draft Resolution
Traffic Study Warrants
Support Letter from Leander City Mayor Fielder and Williamson County Commissioner Long

Contact for further information: Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

# RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$ <br> AUTHORIZING INSTALLATION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION OF HERO WAY WITH THE NORTHBOUND AND SOUTHBOUND 183A FRONTAGE ROADS 

WHEREAS, the Director of Engineering has recently completed an engineering and traffic study for the intersection of the 183A frontage roads and Hero Way to determine if traffic signals at the intersections are now warranted; and

WHEREAS, based on the results of the engineering and traffic study and the resources now available to the Mobility Authority, the Executive Director recommends the installation of traffic signals at the intersections of the 183A frontage roads and Hero Way.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves the installation of traffic signals at the intersection of the 183A frontage roads and Hero Way as recommended by the Executive Director, and authorizes and directs the Executive Director to complete the installation of those traffic signals within a reasonable time.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14-
Date Passed: 02/26/14

# Traffic Signal Warrant 183A Frontage Road 

 And Hero Way

CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority


February 2014
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## I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This report presents a summary of findings for a Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis performed by HNTB Corporation, Inc. for the intersection of 183A Frontage Road and Hero Way in Leander, Williamson County, Texas. A Site Location Map has been included in the Appendix of this report as Exhibit 1.

In order to conduct the signal warrant analysis 24 -hour traffic counts were collected for the intersection of 183A and Hero Way on January 16, 2014. Based on previous data obtained in September 2013 for the Annual Traffic Report, the intersection of 183A Southbound Frontage Road and Hero Way had the highest volumes and number of accidents. This data can be found in the Appendix of this report as Exhibit 2. A site visit was also conducted to observe the geometric configuration of the intersection as well as any unique characteristics about the approaches.

The intersection of 183A and Hero Way is currently a diamond interchange with the frontage road divided with 183A main lanes. The northbound and southbound frontage roads speed limit is 60 mph and Hero Way Approach is 45 mph . Hero Way Approach is currently stop-controlled at both intersections.

## II. ANALYSIS

The 2011 Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (TMUTCD) requires that certain warrants be met prior to the installation of a traffic signal. These warrants are summarized at follows:

| 1. | Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume | 5. | School Crossing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 6. | Coordinated Signal System |
| 3. | Peak Hour | 7. | Crash Experience |
| 4. | Pedestrian Volume | 8. | Roadway Network |
|  |  | 9. | Intersection Near a Grade Crossing |

Below are the TMUTCD descriptions of the Traffic Signal Warrants. In addition to the descriptions, TMUTCD also considers sound engineering judgment and recommendations as enough evidence to warrant the necessity of a traffic signal.

## A. Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

This warrant involves three (3) conditions (A, B, or a combination of A and B) which can individually satisfy the conditions of Warrant 1. Condition A is the Minimum Vehicular Volume which is intended for application at locations where a large volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal. Condition B is the Interruption of Continuous Traffic which is intended for application where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on a minor street suffers excessively.

## B. Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

This warrant is intended to be applied where the volumes of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.
C. Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

This warrant is intended for use at a location where traffic conditions are such that for a minimum of one (1) hour of an average day, the minor-street traffic suffers undue delay when entering or crossing the major street.
D. Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume

This warrant is intended for application where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that pedestrians experience excessive delay in crossing the major street.
E. Warrant 5 - School Crossing

This warrant is intended for application where the fact that school children cross the major street is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.
F. Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

This warrant is when progressive movement in a coordinated signal system sometimes necessitates installing traffic control signals at intersections where they would not otherwise be needed in order to maintain proper platooning of vehicles.
G. Warrant 7 - Crash Experience

This warrant is intended for application where the severity and frequency of crashes are the principal reasons to consider installing a traffic control signal. Requests for crash data have been submitted to TxDOT and we have yet to receive them.
H. Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

This warrant is analyzed when installing a traffic control signal at some intersections might be justified to encourage concentration and organization of traffic flow on a roadway.
I. Warrant 9 - Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

This warrant is analyzed when installing a traffic control signal at some intersections might be justified to encourage concentration and organization of traffic flow on a roadway.

## III. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following results and recommendations are based on data that has been collected, standards set by the TMUTCD. The signal warrant was performed for the 183A Southbound Frontage Road and Hero Way existing conditions. Due to the high volume of accidents, Warrant 7 was analyzed but did not meet the minimum volumes criteria. Warrant 3 satisfied the peak hour criteria therefore a traffic signal installation is recommended to be installed. Please refer to Exhibit 3 within the Appendix of this report for the detailed Signal Warrant Worksheets.

## APPENDIX

## SITE LOCATION MAP



## 24-HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS




## SIGNAL WARRANT WORKSHEETS

## 2011 TMUTCD Warrants

| County: City: | Williamson |  |  | District: | Austin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Leander |  | Population: |  | Survey Date: | 1-16-14 |
|  |  | Name |  | Control | Section | 85\% Speed |
| Major |  | Hero Way |  | Stop |  | 45 MPH |
| Minor | 183A | 183A SBFR |  | Free |  |  |

Eight Highest Hours: Include the same 8 hours for the Major and Minor St. volumes.

| Time <br> Ends | Major St. - Both App. |  | Minor St. - Hi. Vol. App. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Veh. Total | Ped. Total | Veh. Total | Ped. Total |
| 8:00 AM | 431 |  | 409 |  |
| 6:00 PM | 507 |  | 202 |  |
| 5:00 PM | 527 |  | 175 |  |
| 9:00 AM | 332 |  | 285 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 421 |  | 152 |  |
| 7:00 PM | 415 |  | 157 |  |
| 7:00 AM | 220 |  | 327 |  |
| 3:00 PM | 298 |  | 160 |  |

## Warrant 1. Eight Hour Vehicular Volume

| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ (and major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or population less than 10,000 ) or $100 \%^{\text {a }}$ (regardless of speed) of Condition A. <br> - or - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets $70 \%^{\mathrm{C}}$ (and major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or population less than 10,000 ) or $100 \%^{\text {a }}$ (regardless of speed) of Condition B. - or |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ | No | Meets $80 \%^{\text { }}$ of Conditions A and B. |
| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | - or - <br> Meets $56 \%^{\text {d }}$ of Conditions A and B (and major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or population less than 10,000). |

## Condition A - Minimum Vehicle Volume

| Number of Lanes |  | Vehicles per hour on Major St (Total of Both Approaches) |  |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume Minor St approach (One Direction Only) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | Required |  |  |  | Existing$\underline{71.0 \%}$ | Required |  |  |  | Existing$114.3 \%$ |
| Street | Street | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $56 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ | $56 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 |  | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |  |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 |  | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |  |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 298 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 | 160 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 |  | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |  |

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of Lanes |  | Vehicles per hour on Major St (Total of Both Approaches) |  |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume Minor St approach (One Direction Only) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | Required |  |  |  | Existing$47.3 \%$ | Required |  |  |  | Existing$\underline{228.6 \%}$ |
| Street | Street | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ | $56 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 |  | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |  |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 |  | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |  |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 298 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 | 160 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 |  | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Basic minimum hourly volume.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures.
${ }^{c}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in a community with a population of less than 10,000 .
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ May be used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequat trial of other remedial measures when major street exceeds
40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000 .

| $\square$ Yes $\quad \square$ No | Meets each of 4 Highest Hours (Warrant $2-$ see Figure 1). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Figure 1. Four-hour volume warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 40 MPH on major street). (Warrant 2.)

Warrant 3. Peak Hour (70\% Factor)

| $\square$ Yes $\quad \checkmark$ No | Are all of the following conditions true for any four consecutive 15 minute periods? <br> 1. The total stopped time delay experienced by the traffic on one minor street approach (one direction only) controlled by a stop sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a one-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a two-lane approach, and <br> 2. The volume of the same minor street approach (one direction only) equals or exceeds 100 vph for one moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for two moving lanes, and <br> 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 650 vph for intersections with three approaches or 800 vph for intersections with four (or more) approaches. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - or - |
| $\square$ Yes $\square$ No | Meets one High Hour (Warrant 3 - see Figure 2). |


*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Figure 2. Peak hour volume warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 40 MPH on major street). (Warrant 3.)

Warrant 4. Four Hour Pedestrian Volumes (70\% Factor)


Figure 3. Four-hour pedestrian warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 35 MPH on major street). (Warrant 4.)

Warrant 4. Peak Hour Pedestrian Volumes (70\% Factor)

| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets Peak Hour Pedestrian (Warrant4 - see Figure 4). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Figure 4. Peak hour pedestrian warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 35 MPH on major street).
(Warrant 4.)

Warrant 5. School Crossing

|  |  | $\square$ | No | Is the number of adequate gaps in traffic stream during the period when the children are using the crossing less than the number of minutes in the same period? - and - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ |  | $\square$ | No | Is there a minimum of 20 students during the highest crossing hour? - and - |
| $\square$ | Yes | 『 | No | Is the nearest signal located more than 300 feet away? <br> (This warrant may be applied, if the proposed signal is less than 300 feet and does not restrict the progressive movement of traffic.) |

## Warrant 6. Coordinated Signal System

| $\square$ Yes$\square$ <br> N/A | NoOn a one-way street or a street with traffic predominantly in one direction, are the adjacent <br> signals far enough apart that the necessary degree of vehicle platooning does not occur? <br> $-\boldsymbol{o r}-$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | NoOn a two-way street, are the adjacent signals far enough appart that the necessary degree of <br> vehicle platooning does not occur and would the proposed and adjacent traffic control signal <br> provide a progressive operation? |

## Warrant 7. Crash Experience



## Warrant 8. Roadway Network



## Remarks:

Warrant 9. Intersection Near a Grade Crossing (Two or More Approach Lanes at the Track Crossing)

| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets one High Hour (Warrant $9-$ see Figure 5). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


*25 vph applies as the lower threshold volume
** VPH after applying the adjustment factors in Tables 4C-2, 4C-3, and/or 4C-4, if appropriate

Figure 5. Railroad Grade Crossing (Two or More Approach Lanes at the Track Crossing).
(Warrant 9.)


January 14, 2014
Mike Heligenstein
Executive Director
Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority
3300 N IH-35, Suite 300
Austin, TX 78705
Dear Mr. Heligenstein,
While the opening of Hero Way has brought increased mobility to the Leander area, it has also created an overwhelming traffic safety problem occurring at the intersection of Hero Way and the 183A frontage roads. Within the first ten months of operation, over 30 traffic accidents were reported at this intersection. This past Friday, January 10, 2014 there was an accident involving a fatality of a beloved Liberty Hill resident.

We strongly urge the Mobility Authority to address this problem immediately. Given the Friday fatality, a fully signalized intersection should now be warranted. I am sure you can appreciate the seriousness of the situation and share our concern.

Your time and attention to this matter is greatly appreciated. Nothing is more important than the safety of our citizens and we would like to see the appropriate measures taken to prevent another accident or fatality from taking place.

## Sincerely,



Cc: Ray Wilkerson, CTRMA Board Chair
Bob Daigh, Williamson County Senior Director of Infrastructure


## CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## AGENDA ITEM \#5 SUMMARY

Authorize installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Scottsdale Drive with the northbound and southbound 183A frontage roads.

Strategic Plan Relevance:
Department:

Associated Costs:

Funding Source:

## Regional Mobility

## Engineering

approximately $\$ 200,000$
General Funds ( $\$ 100,000$ is to be reimbursed by Developer, Pecan Grove - SPVEF, L.P., to the Mobility Authority per an executed Developer's Agreement for the signal at Scottsdale Drive)

Board Action Required:
Yes
Description of Matter: The intersection of 183A and Scottsdale Drive is currently stopcontrolled. A Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis was performed in September 2013 and indicates that a signal is warranted.

The results of the warrant are based on the data collected in accordance with the TxDOT standard process for signals. The vehicular volume criteria (Warrant 2 - FourHour Vehicular Volume) and peak hour criteria (Warrant 3 - Peak Hour) were met. It is recommended that a traffic signal be installed.

Reference documentation: Traffic Signal Warrant
Developer's Agreement with Pecan Grove
Contact for further information: Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

## RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$ <br> AUTHORIZING INSTALLATION OF TRAFFIC SIGNALS AT THE INTERSECTION OF SCOTTSDALE DRIVE WITH THE NORTHBOUND AND SOUTHBOUND 183A FRONTAGE ROADS

WHEREAS, under that certain Development Agreement between the Mobility Authority and Pecan Grove - SPVEF, L.P. (the "Developer"), effective March 8, 2013, the Developer has agreed to pay $\$ 100,000.00$ towards the cost of installing a traffic signal at the intersection of the northbound 183A frontage road and Scottsdale Drive when the Mobility Authority determines that the installation of the traffic signal is warranted; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Engineering has recently completed an engineering and traffic study for the intersection of the 183A frontage roads and Scottsdale Drive to determine if traffic signals at the intersections are now warranted; and

WHEREAS, based on the results of the engineering and traffic study and the resources now available to the Mobility Authority, the Executive Director recommends the installation of traffic signals at the intersections of the 183A frontage roads and Scottsdale Drive.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby approves the installation of traffic signals at the intersection of the 183A frontage roads and Scottsdale Drive as recommended by the Executive Director, and authorizes and directs the Executive Director to complete the installation of those traffic signals within a reasonable time.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14-
Date Passed: 02/26/14

# Traffic Signal Warrant 183A Frontage Road And Scottsdale Drive 



CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority


February 2014
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## I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This report presents a summary of findings for a Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis performed by HNTB Corporation, Inc. for the intersection of 183A Frontage Road and Scottsdale Drive in Cedar Park, Williamson County, Texas. A Site Location Map has been included in the Appendix of this report as Exhibit 1.

In order to conduct the signal warrant analysis 24-hour traffic counts were collected for the intersection of 183A and Scottsdale Drive on September 18-19, 2013. In addition to the 24-hour traffic counts, turning movements counter were collected on September 19, 2013 and updated turning movement counts were collected on December 13, 2013. This data can be found in the Appendix of this report as Exhibit 2. A site visit was also conducted to observe the geometric configuration of the intersection as well as any unique characteristics about the approaches.

The intersection of 183A and Scottsdale Drive is currently a diamond interchange with the frontage road divided with 183A mainlanes. The 183A northbound and southbound frontage roads speed limit is 60 mph and Scottsdale Drive approach is 25 mph . Scottsdale Drive approach is currently stop-controlled at both intersections.

## II. ANALYSIS

The 2011 Texas Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (TMUTCD) strongly recommends at least one warrant be met prior to the installation of a traffic signal. These warrants are summarized at follows:

| 1. | Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume | 5. | School Crossing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. | Four-Hour Vehicular Volume | 6. | Coordinated Signal System |
| 3. | Peak Hour | 7. | Crash Experience |
| 4. | Pedestrian Volume | 8. | Roadway Network |
|  |  | 9. | Intersection Near a Grade Crossing |

Below are the TMUTCD descriptions of the Traffic Signal Warrants. In addition to the descriptions, TMUTCD also considers sound engineering judgment and recommendations as enough evidence to warrant the necessity of a traffic signal.
A. Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

This warrant involves three (3) conditions (A, B, or a combination of A and B) which can individually satisfy the conditions of Warrant 1. Condition A is the Minimum Vehicular Volume which is intended for application at locations where a large volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal. Condition B is the Interruption of Continuous Traffic which is intended for application where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on a minor street suffers excessively.

## B. Warrant 2 - Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

This warrant is intended to be applied where the volumes of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.
C. Warrant 3 - Peak Hour

This warrant is intended for use at a location where traffic conditions are such that for a minimum of one (1) hour of an average day, the minor-street traffic suffers undue delay when entering or crossing the major street.
D. Warrant 4 - Pedestrian Volume

This warrant is intended for application where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that pedestrians experience excessive delay in crossing the major street.
E. Warrant 5 - School Crossing

This warrant is intended for application where the fact that school children cross the major street is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal.
F. Warrant 6 - Coordinated Signal System

This warrant is when progressive movement in a coordinated signal system sometimes necessitates installing traffic control signals at intersections where they would not otherwise be needed in order to maintain proper platooning of vehicles.
G. Warrant 7 - Crash Experience

This warrant is intended for application where the severity and frequency of crashes are the principal reasons to consider installing a traffic control signal.
H. Warrant 8 - Roadway Network

This warrant is analyzed when installing a traffic control signal at some intersections might be justified to encourage concentration and organization of traffic flow on a roadway.
I. Warrant 9 - Intersection Near a Grade Crossing

This warrant is analyzed when installing a traffic control signal at some intersections might be justified to encourage concentration and organization of traffic flow on a roadway.

## III. RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The following results and recommendations are based on data that has been collected, standards set by the TMUTCD. Due to the high volume of accidents, Warrant 7 was analyzed but did not meet the minimum volumes criteria. Warrants 2 (Four-hour Vehicular Volume) and 3 (Peak Hour) satisfied the volume criteria set by TMUTCD therefore a traffic signal installation is recommended to be installed. For analysis purposes, Scottsdale Drive was evaluated as a single lane approach and the WB right turning vehicles were not considered because the right-turn lane is currently free-flowing. Please refer to Exhibit 3 within the Appendix of this report for the detailed Signal Warrant Worksheets.

## APPENDIX

## SITE LOCATION MAP



## 24-HOUR TRAFFIC COUNTS




|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  | GRAM Traffic, Inc. 21220 J akes Hill Rd Hutto, TX 78634 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scottsdal |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | In between | and | ntage R |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Code |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 18-Sep-13 | Westbound |  | Hour Totals |  | Eastbound |  | Hour Totals |  | Combined T | Totals |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | Wed | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 12:00 |  | 2 | 30 |  |  | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 12:15 |  | 5 | 30 |  |  | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 12:30 |  | 5 | 24 |  |  | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 12:45 |  | 1 | 33 | 13 | 117 | 1 | 24 | 3 | 50 | 16 | 167 |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 01:00 |  | 4 | 26 |  |  | 1 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 01:15 |  | 3 | 37 |  |  | 0 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 01:30 |  | 1 | 39 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 01:45 |  | 3 | 34 | 11 | 136 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 45 | 12 | 181 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 02:00 |  | 0 | 27 |  |  | 0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 02:15 |  | 1 | 42 |  |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 02:30 |  | 3 | 39 |  |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 02:45 |  | 1 | 40 | 5 | 148 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 5 | 176 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 03:00 |  | 3 | 41 |  |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 03:15 |  | 0 | 42 |  |  | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 03:30 |  | 1 | 50 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 03:45 |  | 0 | 48 | 4 | 181 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 37 | 4 | 218 |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 04:00 |  | 0 | 60 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 04:15 |  | 0 | 70 |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 04:30 |  | 0 | 71 |  |  | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 04:45 |  | 4 | 80 | , | 281 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 24 | 8 | 305 |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | 05:00 |  | 1 | 79 |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 05:15 |  | 1 | 98 |  |  | 1 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 05:30 |  | 3 | 114 |  |  | 4 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 05:45 |  | 7 | 90 | 12 | 381 | 1 | 24 | 8 | 60 | 20 | 441 |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 06:00 |  | 3 | 73 |  |  | 3 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 06:15 |  | 8 | 70 |  |  | 6 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 06:30 |  | 6 | 63 |  |  | 16 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 06:45 |  | 2 | 55 | 19 | 261 | 21 | 9 | 46 | 63 | 65 | 324 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 07:00 |  | 4 | 60 |  |  | 39 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 07:15 |  | 18 | 60 |  |  | 23 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 07:30 |  | 9 | 62 |  |  | 28 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 07:45 |  | 9 | 63 | 40 | 245 | 39 | 6 | 129 | 29 | 169 | 274 |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | 08:00 |  | 18 | 66 |  |  | 36 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 08:15 |  | 8 | 56 |  |  | 54 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 08:30 |  | 7 | 49 |  |  | 25 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 08:45 |  | 15 | 52 | 48 | 223 | 19 | 5 | 134 | 19 | 182 | 242 |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | 09:00 |  | 14 | 49 |  |  | 7 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 09:15 |  | 16 | 45 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 09:30 |  | 4 | 32 |  |  | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 09:45 |  | 20 | 27 | 54 | 153 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 17 | 76 | 170 |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | 10:00 |  | 12 | 19 |  |  | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 10:15 |  | 17 | 18 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 10:30 |  | 12 | 13 |  |  | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 10:45 |  | 27 | 13 | 68 | 63 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 88 | 68 |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | 11:00 |  | 20 | 6 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | 11:15 |  | 21 | 16 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 11:30 |  | 21 | 9 |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 11:45 |  | 31 | 6 | 93 | 37 | 5 | 1 | 22 | 2 | 115 | 39 |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | Total |  | 371 | 2226 |  |  | 389 | 379 |  |  | 760 | 2605 |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Percent |  | 14.3\% | 85.7\% |  |  | 50.7\% | 49.3\% |  |  | 22.6\% | 77.4\% |  |  |  |  |








|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | J | K | L | M | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  | GRAM Traffic, Inc. 21220 J akes Hill Rd Hutto, TX 78634 512-832-8650 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Page 2 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scottsdale |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Between 18 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Site Code: |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | 19-Sep-13 | Eastbound |  | Hour Totals |  | W estbound |  | Hour Totals |  | Combined Totals |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | Thu | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon | Morning | Afternoon |  |  |
| 12 | 12:00 |  | 5 | 535 |  |  | 8 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 12:15 |  | 3 | $3 \quad 26$ |  |  | 5 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 12:30 |  | 3 | 25 |  |  | 10 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 12:45 |  | 1 | 30 | 12 | 116 | 2 | 32 | 25 | 121 | 37 | 237 |  |  |
| 16 | 01:00 |  | 3 | 39 |  |  | 2 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 01:15 |  | 2 | 35 |  |  | 2 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 01:30 |  | 2 | 46 |  |  | 2 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 01:45 |  | 2 | 28 | 9 | 148 | 0 | 44 | 6 | 156 | 15 | 304 |  |  |
| 20 | 02:00 |  | 1 | 62 |  |  | 3 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 02:15 |  | 1 | 36 |  |  | 1 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 02:30 |  | 2 | 48 |  |  | 0 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 02:45 |  | 3 | 52 | 7 | 198 | 0 | 57 | 4 | 174 | 11 | 372 |  |  |
| 24 | 03:00 |  | 4 | 53 |  |  | 1 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 03:15 |  | 11 | 50 |  |  | 1 | 96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 03:30 |  | 4 | 60 |  |  | 2 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 03:45 |  | 16 | 57 | 35 | 220 | 4 | 92 | 8 | 348 | 43 | 568 |  |  |
| 28 | 04:00 |  | 13 | 73 |  |  | 0 | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 04:15 |  | 19 | 93 |  |  | 1 | 122 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 04:30 |  | 26 | 72 |  |  | 4 | 102 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 04:45 |  | 39 | 87 | 97 | 325 | 5 | 100 | 10 | 427 | 107 | 752 |  |  |
| 32 | 05:00 |  | 44 | 74 |  |  | 7 | 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | 05:15 |  | 100 | 78 |  |  | 9 | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | 05:30 |  | 97 | 66 |  |  | 10 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | 05:45 |  | 137 | 54 | 378 | 272 | 28 | 70 | 54 | 343 | 432 | 615 |  |  |
| 36 | 06:00 |  | 158 | 49 |  |  | 29 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 06:15 |  | 168 | 40 |  |  | 24 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | 06:30 |  | 138 | 32 |  |  | 34 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | 06:45 |  | 154 | 30 | 618 | 151 | 30 | 62 | 117 | 280 | 735 | 431 |  |  |
| 40 | 07:00 |  | 142 | 42 |  |  | 24 | 81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | 07:15 |  | 159 | 22 |  |  | 37 | 61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | 07:30 |  | 101 | 17 |  |  | 38 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | 07:45 |  | 85 | 33 | 487 | 114 | 35 | 40 | 134 | 240 | 621 | 354 |  |  |
| 44 | 08:00 |  | 58 | 26 |  |  | 12 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | 08:15 |  | 42 | 19 |  |  | 15 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | 08:30 |  | 42 | 13 |  |  | 14 | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | 08:45 |  | 55 | 9 | 197 | 67 | 18 | 40 | 59 | 175 | 256 | 242 |  |  |
| 48 | 09:00 |  | 37 | 14 |  |  | 18 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | 09:15 |  | 45 | 12 |  |  | 16 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 09:30 |  | 40 | 6 |  |  | 18 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | 09:45 |  | 41 | 5 | 163 | 37 | 13 | 17 | 65 | 85 | 228 | 122 |  |  |
| 52 | 10:00 |  | 36 | 4 |  |  | 21 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 10:15 |  | 34 | 4 |  |  | 16 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | 10:30 |  | 30 | 7 |  |  | 21 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | 10:45 |  | 24 | 5 | 124 | 20 | 31 | 12 | 89 | 40 | 213 | 60 |  |  |
| 56 | 11:00 |  | 35 | 1 |  |  | 31 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | 11:15 |  | 36 | 4 |  |  | 25 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 11:30 |  | 33 | 2 |  |  | 32 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | 11:45 |  | 44 | 5 | 148 | 12 | 29 | 13 | 117 | 27 | 265 | 39 |  |  |
| 60 | Total |  | 2275 | 1680 |  |  | 688 | 2416 |  |  | 2963 | 4096 |  |  |
| 61 | Percent |  | 57.5\% | 42.5\% |  |  | 22.2\% | 77.8\% |  |  | 42.0\% | 58.0\% |  |  |
| 63 | Grand Total |  | 4593 | 3387 |  |  | 1411 | 4885 |  |  | 6004 | 8272 |  |  |
| 64 | Percent |  | 57.6\% | 42.4\% |  |  | 22.4\% | 77.6\% |  |  | 42.1\% | 57.9\% |  |  |


| 8098 | $7 \bullet L$ 992 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 2＇0 $\varepsilon$ 8 | て＇L $\angle 6$ $8 G Z$ | 乙6 61 ¢ | 10 <br> 80 <br> 92 | 80 80 01 | 8.29 8.29 9802 |  | 90 $\varepsilon<$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { て.0 } \\ & 1.92 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S}^{\circ} 0 \\ & 6 \cdot \varepsilon L \\ & \angle 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \％｜ełol \％uJddd $\forall$ ןəo＿pueגפ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 669 | $\bigcirc \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 9 | 62 | 9¢9 | $\varepsilon$ | 乙 | てぃ¢ | 602 | 8 | 0 | 乙 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| LZ1 | IV | 0 | 0 | 乙 | 6 | SIt | 0 | 0 | $\varepsilon 9$ | Z9 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | St：61 |
| ZS1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | G | カカレ | 0 | 1 | 06 | \＆ऽ | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0ع：61 |
| トロト | O1 | 0 | 0 | $\tau$ | 8 | 621 | 1 | 0 | ¢8 | $\varepsilon \dagger$ | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | S1：61 |
| 621 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $L$ | 891 | 乙 | 1 | tol | 19 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00：61 |
| ع18 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 99L | 6 | 1 | 99t | 062 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1etol |
| 791 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | GSI | 0 | 1 | 16 | $\varepsilon 9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | St：81 |
| 802 | て1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | て1 | 961 | 乙 | 0 | 801 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0ع：81 |
| G0Z | G1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | St | 061 |  | 0 | LC1 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Sl：81 |
| 98乙 | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | GIL | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0¢1 | 乙8 | － | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00：81 |
| 9801 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 乙 | LL | Z96 | $\dagger$ | 0 | 009 | $8 \downarrow \varepsilon$ | G | 0 | 0 | G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 PlO 1 |
| \＆Z乙 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 1 | G1 | LOZ | 乙 | 0 | 七て1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Sti 21 |
| \＆L乙 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 乙GZ | 1 | 0 | 191 | 06 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0ع： 21 |
| 162 | 乙乙 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 892 | 1 | 0 | L91 | OOL | － | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | St： 21 |
| 6 6て | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | OZ | Gટ乙 | 0 | 0 | 8ヤレ | LL | t | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00： 21 |
| 9 98 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | †92 | 9 | G | 88t | 992 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 1 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 PlO |
| 992 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 98Z | Z | 1 | 191 | ZL | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | St：91 |
| 8て乙 | $L$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $L$ | 0Z乙 | 乙 | 乙 | Otト | 92 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0ع：91 |
| 181 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2L1 | 乙 | 1 | 七6 | GL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ¢1：91 |
| LSt | カレ | 0 | 0 | 0 | カ | 981 | 0 | 1 | $\varepsilon 6$ | で | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00：91． |
| $\dagger \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | $8 \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $8 \varepsilon$ | 162 | $\varepsilon$ | 乙 | 661 | $\angle 8$ | G | 0 | 乙 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 PlO 1 |
| 281 | 乙Z | 0 | 0 | 0 | 乙乙 | 6S1 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 201 | EG | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | St：St |
| ZS1 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 乙と1 | 0 | 1 | $\angle 6$ | 七¢ | t | 0 | 乙 | 乙 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0ع：G1 |
| fetol ful | $12+01$ ddd $\forall$ | spod | 14б！！ | nı41 | みəา | 18101 ddd $\forall$ | uın $\uparrow$ ก | 146！${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | nıY | Нәา | $12+01 \cdot d d y$ | spod | 14б！！ | n141 | サəา | $1 \mathrm{EtO}+$ ddy $\forall$ | spod | 146！ 4 | nı41 | サəา | ƏW！上 みels |
| punoqiseg <br> әјерsџoэs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | punoqısəM әןерsमoગS |  |  |  |  | punoquınos |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]| ع18. | 000 | 000 | Os\% | t08 | $916{ }^{\circ}$ | 009 ${ }^{\circ}$ | Og\%' | t96 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $888^{\circ}$ | 009 | 000 | Og ${ }^{\prime}$ | 8\&t | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | ${ }^{\text {IHd }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 0 | $1 \cdot 1$ | 6.86 |  | †0 | to | 6.79 | 9 ' $\downarrow \varepsilon$ |  | 0 | 9.21 | 9 28 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 PlO P ddy \% |
| 16 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 06 | 186 | + | 1 | < 89 | $6 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 8 |  | 1 | $\angle$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | әunion letor |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 292 | 1 | 0 | 191 | 06 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | sulum st+ |
| 乙2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 892 | 1 | 0 | 291 | 001 | $\dagger$ | 0 | 0 | ¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -su!u 08+ |
| 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | GZZ | 0 | 0 | 8 t | LL | z | 0 | 0 | z | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 'sulu ¢ ${ }^{+}+$ |
| 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{82}{\text { ¢\% }}$ ¢ 91 | $98 乙$ | z | 1 | 191 | CL | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ${ }_{0 ¢}{ }^{\text {e\% }}$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 'su!w $0^{+}$ |



'Ju I

| 916 | 882 | 000 | 000 | 09で | 78L | 886＊ | E89＊ | GLE＊ | $\angle 86$ | \＆ $8 \cdot$ | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | JHd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 90 | †66 |  | 6.1 | 80 | ع＇غ8 | $6 \cdot 1$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ｜EtOI ${ }^{\text {dd }}$ \％ |
| †てら | t91． | 0 | 0 | 1 | ع91 | $09 \varepsilon$ | $\angle$ | $\varepsilon$ | $00 \varepsilon$ | OS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | әun！o＾letol |
| とャレ | $\angle t$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9t | 96 | $\varepsilon$ | 2 | 92 | St | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Sl：80 |
| て己し | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 68 | 1 | 1 | SL | 己1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 00：80 |
| \＆もL | zs | 0 | 0 | 0 | zs | 16 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | カ $\angle$ | カレ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{St}=\angle 0$ |
| 91. | て¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | て¢ | ヤ8 | 0 | 0 | GL | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 08： 20 |
|  <br>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ｜e70 $710 \mid$ | $18+10 \perp$ dd | spad | 1पб！प | n．41 | Нəา | $18+10 \perp$ dd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | unl？ | $146!$ | nıप1 | Нəา | $18+0 \perp$ dd | spod | 1पб！प | nı41 | Нəา | $1 \mathrm{el} 1 \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{dd}$（ | spod | $146!$ | nı41 | Hə7 | ІШU！$\perp$ HelS |
| punoqıse $\exists$ әерsमоэs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | punoqlso $M$ әерsџоэS |  |  |  |  | punoquinos |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | $198{ }^{\circ}$ | $000{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | 092＇ | 998 | 886 | $889^{\circ}$ | GLE | $186^{\circ}$ | $\varepsilon \varepsilon 8^{\circ}$ | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | ${ }^{\text {JHd }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 90 | †＇66 |  | 6. | 80 | ع＇६8 | 6 ¢ 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 elol －ddy \％ |
|  | 621 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $8<1$ | 098 | $\angle$ | $\varepsilon$ | $00 \varepsilon$ | 09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | әun｜on 1 elol |
|  | $\angle t$ |  | 0 | 0 | $\angle t$ | 96 | $\varepsilon$ | z | 92 | St | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | sulum st＋ |
|  | ＜ | 0 | 0 | 1 | $9 \downarrow$ | 68 | 1 | 1 | SL | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ＇su！um 0 ® $^{+}$ |
|  | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 16 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | tL | tr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | suilu cr ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | zs |  | 0 |  | zs | ャ8 | 0 | 0 | SL |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | －sulm $0^{+}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | ¢t：LO |  |  |  |  | $0 \varepsilon: \angle 0$ |  |  |  |  | 00：90 |  |  |  |  | 00：90 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | He | 6294 | olda 4 | e 3 dot 1 not yerd |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 l | $18.01 \cdot d d y$ | ${ }_{\text {spod }}$ | 14б！$¢$ | n．u1 | нәา | $1210 \pm$ dd $\forall$ | unin | 146！y | nı4ı | मәา | ${ }_{\text {Pelo }}+\mathrm{dd} \theta$ | $\mathrm{spad}_{\text {d }}$ | 14б！$¢$ | nıu1 | મәา |  | $\mathrm{spa}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 14619 | nıu1 | मәา | әس！ 1 Hels |
|  |  |  | unoqise |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {abely }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { noquy } \\ & 0, ~ \\ & \hline \forall \varepsilon 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | noqlso epsıOO |  |  |  |  | noquin |  |  |  |
|  |  | 乙： | ON ${ }^{\text {ob }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L0Z／61／6 | 6 ： | 促 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000000 | 0： | рoう |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| wequ－ə | epsゅoos | s： | meN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| カレ98 | $1-\downarrow て$ $1 \angle 8$ | 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 8.8 L \\ & 989 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | $\downarrow \varepsilon$ <br> 6ZZ1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \star \subset \varepsilon \varepsilon \\ & \varepsilon .86 \\ & 80 乙 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \circ 0 \\ & \angle L \\ & L Z \end{aligned}$ | $6 \cdot \downarrow$ <br> カレGト | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 6.0 \\ & \varepsilon 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \cdot G \\ & L \cdot \varepsilon 1 \\ & 80 乙 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot \mathrm{GE} \\ & \text { L-G8 } \\ & 88 \mathrm{~L} \text { । } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \cdot 0 \\ & \varepsilon \cdot 0 \\ & G \end{aligned}$ | \％｜セłO＿ <br> \％uJddd $\forall$ <br> ןセ！ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 119 | 981 | 0 | LOL | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | عદ乙 | 0 | 0 | 0ع乙 | $\varepsilon$ | てヵて | G | Lع | 002 | 0 | ｜セłO1 |
| 291 | ¢ | 0 | $\angle Z$ | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 9$ | 0 | 0 | LG | 0 | 02 | 1 | 81 | LS | 0 | St：61 |
| トート | 62 | 0 | Lて | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | G9 | 1 | 97 | 乙 | L | LE | 0 | 08：61 |
| ES1 | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | 0 | 98 | $L$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ZG | 0 | 0 | IS | 1 | 89 | 乙 | G | LG | 0 | Sl：61 |
| GS1． | 62 | 0 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 9$ | 1 | 89 | 0 | $L$ | 19 | 0 | 00：61 |
| †98 | $\downarrow \downarrow$ し | 0 | ع8। | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 092 | 0 | 0 | 892 | 乙 | ع9E | t | OG | $80 \varepsilon$ | 1 | ¢セ¢○」 |
| L81 | $\downarrow$ ¢ | 0 | 8 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | SG | 0 | 0 | ¢G | 1 | 82 | 0 | G | ZL | 1 | St：81 |
| LてZ | 89 | 0 | ZG | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 86 | $\varepsilon$ | 61 | 92 | 0 | 0ع：81 |
| GIZ | $\varepsilon 9$ | 0 | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | OZ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 02 | 0 | 0 | OL | 0 | 乙8 | 0 | $L$ | GL | 0 | Sl：81 |
| S\＆己 | 99 | 0 | Ot | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7L | 0 | 0 | EL | 1 | SOL | 1 | 61 | S8 | 0 | 00：81 |
| OLOL | Lع乙 | 0 | 6＜1 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1－2E | 0 | 0 | †98 | $L$ | 20ヶ | 乙 | てヵ | 898 | 0 | ｜セłO1 |
| LSZ | LL | 0 | OG | $\angle Z$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 8$ | 1 | 乙6 | 0 | 6 | $\varepsilon 8$ | 0 | St： 21 |
| GLZ | 19 | 0 | $\angle \square$ | カレ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O1／ | 0 | 0 | 601 | － | ち01 | 乙 | 11 | 16 | 0 | 0ع： 21 |
| 992 | 9 S | 0 | 8 b | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 8$ | 0 | 0 | †8 | $\varepsilon$ | ๕て1 | 0 | G1 | 801 | 0 | Sl： 21 |
| て， | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | 0 | $\downarrow \mathcal{L}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | †8 | 乙 | ย8 | 0 | $L$ | 92 | 0 | 00： 21 |
| 76L | ¢91． | 0 | 681 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 92$ | 0 | 0 | t92 | $\varepsilon$ | 298 | 乙 | LG | ع0¢ | 0 | 1 P ＋1 |
| †\＆Z | 6t | 0 | カワ | G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 0 | LOL | 乙 | 61 | 98 | 0 | St：91 |
| L81 | 98 | 0 | 92 | OL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 0 | E8 | 0 | カレ | 69 | 0 | 0ع：91 |
| 681 | しナ | 0 | $9 \varepsilon$ | G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | †9 | 0 | 0 | Z9 | 乙 | †8 | 0 | カレ | 02 | 0 | Sl：91 |
| 781 | $6 \varepsilon$ | 0 | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 9$ | 0 | 0 | 9G | 1 | 88 | 0 | OL | 82 | 0 | 00：91 |
| ¢ $¢ \varepsilon$ | 26 | 0 | 82 | カレ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 9 | Sカレ | 0 | 乙乙 | 611 | $\downarrow$ | 1 P |
| 081 | 9t | 0 | で |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | LG | 0 | 0 | 87 | $\varepsilon$ | દ8 | 0 | 11 | 89 | t | St： $\mathrm{Sl}_{\text {1 }}$ |
| GSI | 97 | 0 | $9 \varepsilon$ | OL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle t$ | 0 | 0 | カt | $\varepsilon$ | 29 | 0 | 11 | IS | 0 | 0ع：$\underbrace{1}$ |
|  | 18101 dd $\forall$ | spad | 146！ 4 | n．41 | サəา | $1 \mathrm{etO}, \mathrm{dd}$ ¢ | spod | ＋4б！ 4 | nıu1 | サขา | ｜etol ${ }^{\text {d }}$ dd $\forall$ | spod | 146！ 4 | nıY | サəา |  | unitn | 146！${ }^{\text {d }}$ | nıu1 | Нәา | OW！$\downarrow$＋1S |
| punoqlsez әןерsџoગs |  |  |  |  |  | punoquto |  |  |  |  | punoqısə $M$ əןерsıOOS |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { punoquinos } \\ \text { әбełuory } \forall \varepsilon 8 \text { । } \mathrm{S} \cap \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]|  | LS8 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | $688^{\circ}$ | $18 L^{\circ}$ | 000 | 1000 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | 000 | \＆¢8 | 000 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | $988^{\circ}$ | $889^{\circ}$ | 298. | ¢ $¢ \varepsilon^{\circ}$ | HLく | 098． | $000^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\text {H }}$ Hd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | 1.04 | 662 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1.86 | 6.1 |  | $\stackrel{0}{ }$ | L＇2 | $9 \cdot 98$ | 0 | 1810］ddy \％ |
|  | t92 | 0 | 981 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1<\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | ＋98 | $\angle$ | ちても |  | ャ9 | $\angle 9 \varepsilon$ | 0 | aunion letod |
|  | 89 | 0 | 29 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | S01 | 1 | 61 | 98 | 0 | －sulu st＋ |
|  | ¢9 | 0 | \＆t | 02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | OLL | 0 | 0 | 601 | 1 | 26 | 0 | 6 | \＆8 | 0 | －sulum 0 e $^{+}$ |
|  | 99 | 0 | $0 \downarrow$ | 91 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 8$ | 0 | 0 | ャ8 | $\varepsilon$ | ヶ01 | z | H | 16 | 0 | －sulu ¢ ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | ＜L | 0 | 0 S | $\angle z$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | ャ8 | 2 | £ $\downarrow$ | 0 | St | 801 | 0 | －sulu $0^{+}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | ¢t：$\angle 1$ |  |  |  |  | 0¢：G1 |  |  |  |  | 00： 21 |  |  |  |  | 91： |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | It ${ }^{\text {s }}$ | 62 g 40 | olddy | eg dop 1 not yeerd |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 L Y | － St ¢ 6 | O） 0 ¢：S | moı | Nieut AnOH yead |
| $686^{\circ}$ | 218 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 926 | 209 | 000 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | 000 | 918 | 000 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | 018 | 009 | 298 | GLE＇ | HLC | 098． | 000 | ${ }^{3} \mathrm{Hd}$ |
|  |  | 0 | tL | 92 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |  | 0 | 0 | ع＇86 | L＇1 |  | 10 | L＇21 | $9 \cdot 98$ | 0 | $18+10 \perp$ ddy \％ |
| ع 801 | O¢ | 0 | 981 | ¢9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 698 | 0 | 0 | ع¢¢ | 9 | ちても | 8 | ャ¢ | $\angle 98$ | 0 | aunlo＾letol |
| ¢ ¢ | 99 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | t＜ | 0 | 0 | \＆ | 1 | S01 |  | 61 |  | 0 | 00：81 |
| Lsz | L | 0 | os | LZ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 8$ | 1 | 26 |  | 6 | \＆8 | 0 | st：$<1$ |
| sLz | 19 | 0 | $\angle \downarrow$ | ＋t | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | OLI | 0 | 0 | 601 | 1 | t01 |  | H |  | 0 | 0¢：く1 |
| 992 | 99 | 0 | 85 | 8 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\llcorner 8$ | 0 | 0 | ャ8 | $\varepsilon$ | ๕と |  | St |  |  | cl： 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | te sul 6 | 9 प0！！ | səヤu｜ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 O | d－9t：6 | 아 08： | mody | Put 1 not yead |
|  | $1210 \pm$ ddy | spad | 146！ 4 | п．4ı | मәา | ${ }_{\text {elo }}+\mathrm{ddy}$ | ${ }_{\text {spad }}$ | 146！！ | nuч1 | ทәา | $1810 \pm$ ddy | spəd | 1406！ | ${ }^{\text {nuч }}$ | нәา |  | unin | 146！！ | nıuı | मәา | әw！ 1 Hels |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unoqise } \\ & \text { \|eps } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | поवчно |  |  |  |  | unoqıson |  |  |  | ขбฺ！ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { noquıno } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | 乙： | ON | 6ed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | عLOZ／8 | ／6： | өpe | EIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ع0000000 | 00 ： | әроэ | ә！ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| mdgs－ə | өןepsџo૭ |  | amen | ข｜！ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 0162 | $\varepsilon 96$ $9 \downarrow$ ¢ | 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \angle . G \varepsilon \\ & \text { Z } \angle L \\ & 6 \varepsilon 01 \end{aligned}$ | S．OL $8.2 Z$ $\angle 0 \varepsilon$ | 0 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 9^{\circ} \varepsilon \\ & \text { GOL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { s' } \varepsilon \\ & \text { Z'96 } \\ & \text { LOL } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.0 \\ & 8 . \varepsilon \\ & \downarrow \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LOG } \\ & 6 \mathrm{G} t \vdash \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^{\circ} 0 \\ & \mathrm{LO} \\ & 01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{G} \\ & 6.6 \\ & \mathrm{~S} \dagger \mathrm{~L} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | \％｜리이 \％uJddd $\forall$ ן！૦」 pue»૭ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1+$ OL | $\dagger$ ¢ $\dagger$ | 0 | 862 | 981 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle t$ | 0 | 0 | カ | $\varepsilon$ | 099 | $L$ | $1 / 2$ | 28t | 0 | 1 PlO |
| 0ع乙 | 06 | 0 | 1L | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | E1 | 0 | 0 | $\varepsilon 1$ | 0 | LZ1 | 1 | 61 | LOL | 0 | St：80 |
| 乙乌己 | G6 | 0 | $\varepsilon 9$ | 乙¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | LG1 | t | £乙 | 七て1 | 0 | 08：80 |
| 692 | 621 | 0 | 92 | \＆G | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | IV | 0 | 0 | 01 | 1 | 621 | 0 | 91 | と1レ | 0 | Sl：80 |
| 062 | OZ1 | 0 | 88 | 乙¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 1$ | 0 | 0 | G1 | 乙 | EG1 | 乙 | $\varepsilon 1$ | 8\＆1 | 0 | 00：80 |
| とャレト | t99 | 0 | 98t | 821 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc \mathcal{L}$ | 0 | 0 | ¢ $\varepsilon$ | 0 | ttc | 乙 | 89 | ع8t | 1 | ¢¢¢○」 |
| 8LZ | GZ1 | 0 | $\angle 8$ | $8 \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | くカレ | 0 | 6 | LEL | 1 | St： 20 |
| 692 | 621 | 0 | 101 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | It | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 6 L | 乙 | 02 | LOL | 0 | 08：L0 |
| L0E | LEL | 0 | 801 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle 1$ | 0 | 0 | L1 | 0 | ES1 | 0 | St | 881 | 0 | Sl：L0 |
| 682 | ELI | 0 | Oャレ | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | SIt | 0 | カレ | 101 | 0 | 00： 20 |
| 922 | $8 \downarrow$ 8 | 0 | G0¢ | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | 0 | 0 | 乙乙 | 1 | GSE | 1 | 91 | LعE | 1 | 1 PlO |
| てちて | OZ1 | 0 | 101 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\dagger$ | 0 | 0 | † | 0 | 815 | 1 | 11 | GO1 | 1 | St：90 |
| 91て | 601 | 0 | 乙6 | L1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | G | 0 | 0 | G | 0 | 201 | 0 | $\dagger$ | 86 | 0 | 0ع：90 |
| 291． | 七L | 0 | 02 | t | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 08 | 0 | 0 | 08 | 0 | ¢ı：90 |
| 901 | St | 0 | てt | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | G | 1 | GS | 0 | 1 | $\dagger$ ¢ | 0 | 00：90 |
| ｜E10 1 ＇lu｜ | $1 \mathrm{P}+\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{dd}$（ $\forall$ | spod | 146！！y | nı41 | サəา | $18+1+1 . d d y$ | spod | ＋46！ d $^{\text {d }}$ | n\u1 | Нəา | $1 \mathrm{l}+1 \times \mathrm{dd}$（ | spod | ＋46！ d $^{\text {d }}$ | n土41 | サəา | 1eło + dd $\forall$ | uın $\frac{1}{}$ | 14б！！ | nıบ1 | サəา | OW！ 1 ＋1E7S |
|  | punoqiseg әృepsџOэS |  |  |  |  | punoquto |  |  |  |  | punoqlsə $M$ әןерsमоכS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^3]|  | $918{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | $6 L^{\circ}$ | $278{ }^{\circ}$ | 000 | $000 \cdot$ | 000. | 000 | 000 | 000 | $09 L^{\circ}$ | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | $000^{\circ}$ | I21 | 092＇ | 196 | $00{ }^{\circ}$ | \＆1／ | $2 \pm 6$ | Og2＇ | ${ }^{\text {IHd }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0 | E＇LL | L ¿ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | ＋96 | 6 6 |  | LO | $8 \cdot 6$ | ع＇68 | 20 | $1 \mathrm{l}+\mathrm{O}$－ddy \％ |
|  | t9s | 0 | $98 t$ | 881 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | $6 \downarrow$ | 乙 | 289 | ＋ | $\angle 9$ | 029 | 1 |  |
|  | S21 | 0 | 18 | $8 \varepsilon$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\angle$ | 0 | 0 | St | 2 | £G | $\tau$ | $\varepsilon$ | 8\＆1 | 0 | sulu ${ }^{\text {ct＋}}$ |
|  | 62t | 0 | 101 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | $\angle \mathrm{H}$ | 0 | 6 | ＜¢ | 1 | suilu 08＋ |
|  | ＜ 21 | 0 | 801 | 62 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | H | 0 | 621 | z | 02 | LOL | 0 | sulim cr ${ }^{+}$ |
|  | $\varepsilon \angle 1$ | 0 | 0tr | \＆ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\angle$ | 0 | 0 | $\angle 1$ | 0 | £¢ | 0 | ¢1 | $8 \varepsilon 1$ | 0 | －sulu $0^{+}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 00：L0 |  |  |  |  | 00：90 |  |  |  |  | Sl：L0 |  |  |  |  | st：LO |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 1 ¢ | － H | $\begin{aligned} & 160949 \\ & 0, ~ 00: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { oddy } \\ & \text { wody } \end{aligned}$ | 人隹u AnOH yea |
| ｜e¢0 $\downarrow$ 7 7 | $12 \times 10 \pm$ ddy | spad | 146！！ | n．41 | нәา | $12,0 \pm \cdot d d y$ | spad | 146！！ | n．41 | нәา | $1210 \pm \cdot d d y$ | spod | 14б！！ | n．41 | нәา | $12,0 \pm$ dd $\quad$ ¢ | unin | 146！ | n． 41 | нәา | әس！$\perp$ นets |
|  |  |  | unoqlse eps |  |  |  |  | nоячн |  |  |  |  | unoqiso <br>  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {əธe！}}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 乙： | N $\partial$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 102／8 $1 /$ | 6 ： | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 000000 | 0： | －Oכ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| meqs－ə | epsıoos | s： | ueN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |













Peak Hour
Peak Hour Total
Peak Hour Turn Percent
Peak Hour Approach Total
Peak Hour Intersection Total
Peak HourFactor


## SIGNAL WARRANT WORKSHEETS

## Traffic Survey - Count Analysis

## 2011 TMUTCD Warrants

| County: | Williamson |  |  | District: | Austin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City: | Cedar Park |  | Population: |  | Survey Date: | 12-13-13 |
|  |  | Name |  | Control | Section | 85\% Speed |
| Major | 183A | 183A SBFR |  |  |  | 60 MPH |
| Minor |  | Scottsdale Drive |  |  |  |  |

Eight Highest Hours: Include the same 8 hours for the Major and Minor St. volumes.

| Time <br> Ends | Major St. - Both App. |  | Minor St. - Hi. Vol. App. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Veh. Total | Ped. Total | Veh. Total | Ped. Total |
| 6:00 PM | 585 |  | 386 |  |
| 7:00 PM | 597 |  | 359 |  |
| 5:00 PM | 597 |  | 304 |  |
| 8:00 PM | 436 |  | 266 |  |
| 8:00 AM | 453 |  | 126 |  |
| 4:00 PM | 358 |  | 196 |  |
| 9:00 AM | 450 |  | 101 |  |
| 9:00 PM | 168 |  | 254 |  |

## Warrant 1. Eight Hour Vehicular Volume

| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ (and major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or population less than 10,000 ) or $100 \%^{\text {a }}$ (regardless of speed) of Condition A. <br> - or - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets $70 \%^{\mathrm{C}}$ (and major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or population less than 10,000 ) or $100 \%^{\text {a }}$ (regardless of speed) of Condition B. - or |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ | No | Meets $80 \%^{\text { }}$ of Conditions A and B. |
| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | - or - <br> Meets $56 \%^{\text {d }}$ of Conditions A and B (and major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or population less than 10,000). |

## Condition A - Minimum Vehicle Volume

| Number of Lanes |  | Vehicles per hour on Major St (Total of Both Approaches) |  |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume Minor St approach (One Direction Only) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | Required |  |  |  | Existing 40.0\% | Required |  |  |  | Existing 181.4\% |
| Street | Street | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ | $56 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1 | 1 | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 |  | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |  |
| 2 or more | 1 | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 |  | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |  |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 168 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 | 254 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 |  | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |  |

Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

| Number of Lanes |  | Vehicles per hour on Major St (Total of Both Approaches) |  |  |  |  | Vehicles per hour on higher-volume Minor St approach (One Direction Only) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | Required |  |  |  | Existing$26.7 \%$ | Required |  |  |  | Existing$362.9 \%$ |
| Street | Street | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%^{\text {c }}$ | $56 \%{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $80 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $70 \%{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 |  | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |  |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 |  | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |  |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 168 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 | 254 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 |  | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Basic minimum hourly volume.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures.
${ }^{c}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in a community with a population of less than 10,000 .
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ May be used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequat trial of other remedial measures when major street exceeds
40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000 .

| $\checkmark$ Yes $\quad \square$ No | Meets each of 4 Highest Hours (Warrant $2-$ see Figure 1). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


*Note: 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 60 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Figure 1. Four-hour volume warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 40 MPH on major street). (Warrant 2.)

Warrant 3. Peak Hour (70\% Factor)

| $\square$ Yes $\quad \checkmark$ No | Are all of the following conditions true for any four consecutive 15 minute periods? <br> 1. The total stopped time delay experienced by the traffic on one minor street approach (one direction only) controlled by a stop sign equals or exceeds 4 vehicle-hours for a one-lane approach and 5 vehicle-hours for a two-lane approach, and <br> 2. The volume of the same minor street approach (one direction only) equals or exceeds 100 vph for one moving lane of traffic or 150 vph for two moving lanes, and <br> 3. The total entering volume serviced during the hour equals or exceeds 650 vph for intersections with three approaches or 800 vph for intersections with four (or more) approaches. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - or - |
| $\square$ Yes $\square$ No | Meets one High Hour (Warrant 3 - see Figure 2). |


*Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.

Figure 2. Peak hour volume warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 40 MPH on major street). (Warrant 3.)

Warrant 4. Four Hour Pedestrian Volumes (70\% Factor)


Figure 3. Four-hour pedestrian warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 35 MPH on major street). (Warrant 4.)

Warrant 4. Peak Hour Pedestrian Volumes (70\% Factor)

| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets Peak Hour Pedestrian (Warrant4 - see Figure 4). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Figure 4. Peak hour pedestrian warrant (community less than 10,000 population or above 35 MPH on major street).
(Warrant 4.)

Warrant 5. School Crossing

|  |  | $\square$ | No | Is the number of adequate gaps in traffic stream during the period when the children are using the crossing less than the number of minutes in the same period? - and - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ |  | $\square$ | No | Is there a minimum of 20 students during the highest crossing hour? - and - |
| $\square$ | Yes | 『 | No | Is the nearest signal located more than 300 feet away? <br> (This warrant may be applied, if the proposed signal is less than 300 feet and does not restrict the progressive movement of traffic.) |

## Warrant 6. Coordinated Signal System

| $\square$ Yes$\square$ <br> N/A | NoOn a one-way street or a street with traffic predominantly in one direction, are the adjacent <br> signals far enough apart that the necessary degree of vehicle platooning does not occur? <br> $-\boldsymbol{o r}-$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | NoOn a two-way street, are the adjacent signals far enough appart that the necessary degree of <br> vehicle platooning does not occur and would the proposed and adjacent traffic control signal <br> provide a progressive operation? |

## Warrant 7. Crash Experience



## Warrant 8. Roadway Network



## Remarks:

Warrant 9. Intersection Near a Grade Crossing (Two or More Approach Lanes at the Track Crossing)

| $\square$ Yes | $\checkmark$ | No | Meets one High Hour (Warrant $9-$ see Figure 5). |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


*25 vph applies as the lower threshold volume
** VPH after applying the adjustment factors in Tables 4C-2, 4C-3, and/or 4C-4, if appropriate

Figure 5. Railroad Grade Crossing (Two or More Approach Lanes at the Track Crossing).
(Warrant 9.)


## CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## AGENDA ITEM \#6 SUMMARY

Authorize the Executive Director to issue certain directive letters to Central Texas Mobility Constructors under the design-build comprehensive development agreement for the Manor Expressway

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility
Department: Engineering
Associated Costs: Not Applicable
Funding Source: Manor Expressway Project funds
Board Action Required: Yes

Description of Matter:
This item authorizes the Executive Director, with approval of the Executive Committee, to issue any Directive Letters, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 5$ million, under the design/build contract with Central Texas Mobility Constructors, LLC, for development of the Manor Expressway Project.

Because of the critical importance of completing the Manor Expressway Project on schedule, the Executive Director recommends that the Board authorize his approval to issue Directive Letters, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 5$ million, for certain change orders to Central Texas Mobility Constructors, LLC. The Executive Director shall promptly provide a written report to the members of the Board of Directors describing each such approved Directive Letter and his reason for issuing that Directive Letter.

Most change orders will continue to be scheduled for Board approval during a regular monthly meeting, but this power will allow the Executive Director to act on behalf of the Mobility Authority if circumstances require the issuance of a Directive Letter in the period between the Board's monthly meetings.

Reference documentation: Draft Resolution
Contract excerpt re Directive Letter
Contact for further information: Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

Ray A. Wilkerson, Chairman • James H. Mills, Vice-Chairman • Robert L. Bennett Jr., Treasurer Nikelle S. Meade, Secretary • David Singleton • Charles Heimsath • David B. Armbrust Mike Heiligenstein, Executive Director

# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

## RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$

# AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TO ISSUE CERTAIN DIRECTIVE LETTERS TO CENTRAL TEXAS MOBILITY CONSTRUCTORS UNDER THE DESIGN/BUILD COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE MANOR EXPRESSWAY 

WHEREAS, Section 101.038(b)(7) of the Policy Code provides that the Executive Director "shall have such obligations and authority as may be described in one or more Resolutions enacted from time to time by the board;" and

WHEREAS, under Section 14.1.1.2 of the Design/Build Comprehensive Development Agreement with Central Texas Mobility Constructors, LLC, ("CTMC") effective June 15, 2011 (the "Contract"), the Mobility Authority may issue a Directive Letter to CTMC to require immediate performance of Development Work by CTMC; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director recommends that the Board authorize an expedited process to issue certain Directive Letters to CTMC when scheduling Board approval of the Directive Letter at a regular monthly meeting could cause a delay completing the Manor Expressway Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board hereby authorizes the Executive Director, with approval of the Executive Committee, to issue to Central Texas Mobility Constructors, LLC, any Directive Letter for Development Work on the Manor Expressway Project when the estimated cost to the Mobility Authority of that Development Work is \$300,000 or more, but does not exceed $\$ 5$ million, without prior Board consideration or approval of the Directive Letter; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Executive Director shall promptly provide a written report to the members of the Board of Directors describing each such approved Directive Letter and his reason for issuing that Directive Letter.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14-
Date Passed: 02/26/14
(b) to revise the Interim Completion Deadline, the Completion Deadline or the Acceptance Deadline;
(c) to revise the Development Price; and
(d) to revise other terms and conditions of the Contract Documents.

Upon the CTRMA's approval of the matters set forth in the Change Order form, whether it is initiated by the CTRMA or D/B CDA Developer, the CTRMA shall execute such Change Order form.

### 14.1.1.2 Issuance of Directive Letter.

The CTRMA may, at any time, issue a letter to D/B CDA Developer in the event of any desired change in the Development Work or in the event of any dispute regarding the scope of the Development Work to be performed by D/B CDA Developer (a "Directive Letter"). The Directive Letter will describe the Development Work in question and will state the basis for determining compensation, if any. D/B CDA Developer will proceed immediately with the Development Work as directed in the Directive Letter, pending the execution of a formal Change Order or, if the Directive Letter states that the Development Work is within the original scope of the Development Work, D/B CDA Developer will proceed with the Development Work as directed, but shall have the right to submit the question of entitlement to a Change Order and the amount of allowable additional compensation and time to dispute resolution in accordance with Section 25 of this Agreement.

### 14.1.1.3 Prerequisites for Change Orders for Work Outside of Scope.

As a condition precedent to D/B CDA Developer's entitlement to a price increase or time extension for work which D/B CDA Developer believes is outside of the scope of the Development Work, D/B CDA Developer shall have received either a Directive Letter from the CTRMA stating that it is issued pursuant to Section 14.1.1.2 or a Change Order for such item signed by the CTRMA. D/B CDA Developer shall not be entitled to additional compensation or time extension for any such work performed prior to receipt of a Directive Letter or Change Order, except to the extent that Section 14.3.2.2 preserves D/B CDA Developer's right to compensation for work performed following delivery of a Request for Change Order Resolution Meeting. D/B CDA Developer acknowledges that it will be at risk if it elects to proceed with any such work, since CTRMA may later decide not to provide direction with regard to such work.
14.1.1.4 Additional Provisions Concerning Directive Letters.

In addition to provision of a Proposed Change Order ("PCO") Notice and subsequent Change Order request pursuant to Section 14.3.2, receipt of a Directive Letter from the CTRMA shall be a condition precedent to D/B CDA Developer's right to make a Claim that a CTRMA-Directed Change has occurred. However, the fact that a Directive Letter was issued by the CTRMA shall not be considered evidence that a CTRMA-Directed Change has occurred. The determination as to whether a CTRMA-Directed Change has occurred shall be based on an analysis of the original requirements of the Contract Documents and a determination whether the Directive Letter constituted a change in those requirements. The foregoing requirements do not require that a Directive Letter be issued by the CTRMA in order for D/B CDA Developer to have the right to receive compensation for Development Work within the original scope of the Development Work (such as certain types of Utility Adjustment Work) for which additional compensation is specifically allowed under this Section 14.

### 14.1.2 Right of the CTRMA to Issue Change Orders.

The CTRMA may, at any time and from time to time, without notice to any Surety or Guarantor, authorize and/or require changes in the Development Work within the general scope of the Development Work pursuant to a Change Order. All additions, deductions or changes to the Development Work as directed by Change Orders shall be executed under the conditions of the original Contract Documents.

### 14.2 Procedure for Issuance of Change Orders by the CTRMA.

This Section 14.2 concerns Change Orders issued by the CTRMA following a Request for Change Proposal and Change Orders unilaterally issued by the CTRMA.

### 14.2.1 Request for Change Proposal.

14.2.1.1 If the CTRMA desires to issue a CTRMA-Directed Change or to evaluate whether to initiate such a change, the CTRMA may, at its discretion, issue a Request for Change Proposal. The CTRMA may, at any time, ask D/B CDA Developer to provide two alternative Change Order forms in accordance with Section 14.3.3.
14.2.1.2 Within five Business Days after D/B CDA Developer's receipt of a Request for Change Proposal, the CTRMA and D/B CDA Developer shall arrange an initial consultation (at no charge to the CTRMA) concerning the estimated cost and time impacts. D/B CDA Developer shall provide data regarding such matters as requested by the CTRMA.
14.2.1.3 After the initial consultation and delivery by D/B CDA Developer of data and information as described in Section 14.2.1.2, the CTRMA shall notify D/B CDA


## AGENDA ITEM \#7 SUMMARY

Approve an amendment to the Policy Code to adopt the exceptions authorized by state law from procurement requirements for a county.

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

| Strategic Plan Relevance: | Regional Mobility |
| :--- | :--- |
| Department: | Law |
| Associated Costs: | None |
| Funding Source: | N/A |
| Board Action Required: | Yes |

Description of Matter: The Procurement Policies adopted in the Policy Code require a competitive bidding or competitive proposal process for all goods and services that cost more than $\$ 50,000$ if not otherwise subject to a specific procurement process established by state law or the Policy Code. This amendment to the Policy Code authorizes the board, in its discretion, to approve an exemption from a competitive bidding or competitive proposal process for those goods or services the Legislature has authorized a county to exempt from competitive bidding or proposal requirements established by the County Purchasing Act.

The list of discretionary exemptions from Section 262.024, Local Government Code, is incorporated into the Policy Code by reference (copy attached for reference). The discretionary exemptions most likely to be relevant to the Mobility Authority are those purchases needed to preserve public health or safety, necessary because of unforeseen damage to public property, for personal services, or for a professional services not subject to the Professional Services Procurement Act.

Reference documentation: Draft Resolution
Section 262.024, Local Government Code
Contact for further information: Andrew Martin, General Counsel
Ray A. Wilkerson, Chairman • James H. Mills, Vice-Chairman • Robert L. Bennett Jr., Treasurer Nikelle S. Meade, Secretary • David Singleton • Charles Heimsath • David B. Armbrust Mike Heiligenstein, Executive Director

# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

RESOLUTION NO. 14-___

## APPROVING AN AMENDMENT TO THE POLICY CODE TO ADOPT THE EXCEPTIONS AUTHORIZED BY STATE LAW FROM PROCUREMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR A COUNTY.

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 08-64 adopted December 17, 2008, the Board amended the Mobility Authority Procurement Policies to ensure conformity with applicable federal rules and regulations; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 12-016 adopted February 29, 2012, the Board adopted the Mobility Authority Policy Code ("Policy Code") as a non-substantive codification of all policy resolutions adopted by the Board since 2003; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director recommends amending the Policy Code to incorporate by reference those exemptions to state law procurement requirements that apply to a Texas county.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board hereby amends the Policy Code to add a new Section 401.0061 (Discretionary Exemptions) to read as set forth in Exhibit 1 to this resolution.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $28^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2013.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14-
Date Passed: 2/26/2014

## EXHIBIT 1 TO RESOLUTION NO. 14-

A new Section 401.0061 of the Policy Code is amended to read as follows:

### 401.0061 Discretionary Exemptions

A contract to purchase general goods or services that may be exempted under Section 262.024, Local Government Code, from competitive bidding or competitive proposal requirements otherwise made applicable to a county by the County Purchasing Act may be exempted from competitive bidding or competitive proposal requirements established by Article 3 of this chapter if the board exempts the contract by motion or resolution.

# Texas Local Government Code 

Title 8. Acquisition, Sale, or Lease of Property
Subtitle B. County Acquisition, Sale, or Lease of Property
Chapter 262. Purchasing and Contracting Authority of Counties
Subchapter C. Competitive Bidding in General

## § 262.024. Discretionary Exemptions

(a) A contract for the purchase of any of the following items is exempt from the requirement established by Section 262.023 if the commissioners court by order grants the exemption:
(1) an item that must be purchased in a case of public calamity if it is necessary to make the purchase promptly to relieve the necessity of the citizens or to preserve the property of the county;
(2) an item necessary to preserve or protect the public health or safety of the residents of the county;
(3) an item necessary because of unforeseen damage to public property;
(4) a personal or professional service;
(5) any individual work performed and paid for by the day, as the work progresses, provided that no individual is compensated under this subsection for more than 20 working days in any three month period;
(6) any land or right-of-way;
(7) an item that can be obtained from only one source, including:
(A) items for which competition is precluded because of the existence of patents, copyrights, secret processes, or monopolies;
(B) films, manuscripts, or books;
(C) electric power, gas, water, and other utility services; and
(D) captive replacement parts or components for equipment;
(8) an item of food;
(9) personal property sold:
(A) at an auction by a state licensed auctioneer;
(B) at a going out of business sale held in compliance with Subchapter F, Chapter 17, Business \& Commerce Code; 1 or
(C) by a political subdivision of this state, a state agency of this state, or an entity of the federal government;
(10) any work performed under a contract for community and economic development made by a county under Section 381.004; or
(11) vehicle and equipment repairs.
(b) The renewal or extension of a lease or of an equipment maintenance agreement is exempt from the requirement established by Section 262.023 if the commissioners court by order grants the exemption and if:
(1) the lease or agreement has gone through the competitive bidding procedure within the preceding year;
(2) the renewal or extension does not exceed one year; and
(3) the renewal or extension is the first renewal or extension of the lease or agreement.
(c) If an item exempted under Subsection (a)(7) is purchased, the commissioners court, after accepting a signed statement from the county official who makes purchases for the county as to the existence of only one source, must enter in its minutes a statement to that effect.
(d) The exemption granted under Subsection (a)(8) of this section shall apply only to the sealed competitive bidding requirements on food purchases. Counties shall solicit at least three bids for purchases of food items by telephone or written quotation at intervals specified by the commissioners court. Counties shall award food purchase contracts to the responsible bidder who submits the lowest and best bid or shall reject all bids and repeat the bidding process, as provided by this subsection. The purchasing officer taking telephone or written bids under this subsection shall maintain, on a form approved by the commissioners court, a record of all bids solicited and the vendors contacted. This record shall be kept in the purchasing office for a period of at least one year or until audited by the county auditor.


## AGENDA ITEM \#8 SUMMARY

Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the Manor Expressway project.

# CENTRAL TEXAS <br> Regional Mobility Authority 

| Strategic Plan Relevance: | Regional Mobility |
| :--- | :--- |
| Department: | Finance |
| Associated Costs: | N/A |
| Funding Source: | N/A |
| Board Action Required: | Yes |
| Description of Matter: |  |
| The attached resolution will allow the Mobility Authority to reimburse <br> expenditures made from future bond proceeds. |  |

Reference documentation:
Draft Resolution
Contact for further information:
Bill Chapman, Chief Financial Officer
Cindy Demers, Controller

[^4]
# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

## RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$ <br> Expressing Intent to Finance Expenditures To Be Incurred for the Manor ExpressWay Project.

WHEREAS, the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the "Issuer") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas authorized to finance its activities by issuing obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer will make, or has made not more than 60 days prior to the date hereof, payments with respect to the design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the project listed on Attachment A to this resolution (the "Financed Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Issuer has concluded that it does not currently desire to issue obligations to finance the costs associated with the Financed Property; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer desires to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer reasonably expects to issue obligations to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse itself for costs that have been or will be paid subsequent to the date that is 60 days prior to the date hereof and that are to be paid in connection with the analysis, design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Issuer reasonably expects that the maximum principal amount of obligations issued to reimburse the Issuer for the costs associated with the Financed Property will be $\$ 160,000,000$.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14- $\qquad$
Date Passed: 2/26/14

## ATTACHMENT "A" TO RESOLUTION 14-

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

## Purpose/Project

The Manor ExpressWay Project, which may include, without Amount limitation, (i) approximately 3.5 miles of tolled freeway facility (including non-tolled access roads) from east of SH 130 to FM 973, and (ii) toll collection equipment and other facilities and equipment necessary or incidental to the project.


## AGENDA ITEM \#9 SUMMARY

Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the MoPac South project.

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility
Department: Finance
Associated Costs: N/A
Funding Source: N/A
Board Action Required: Yes
Description of Matter:
The attached resolution will allow the Mobility Authority to reimburse expenditures made from future bond proceeds.

Reference documentation:
Draft Resolution

Contact for further information:
Bill Chapman, Chief Financial Officer
Cindy Demers, Controller
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# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

## RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$ <br> Expressing Intent to Finance Expenditures To Be Incurred for the MoPac South Project.

WHEREAS, the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the "Issuer") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas authorized to finance its activities by issuing obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer will make, or has made not more than 60 days prior to the date hereof, payments with respect to the design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the project listed on Attachment A to this resolution (the "Financed Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Issuer has concluded that it does not currently desire to issue obligations to finance the costs associated with the Financed Property; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer desires to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer reasonably expects to issue obligations to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse itself for costs that have been or will be paid subsequent to the date that is 60 days prior to the date hereof and that are to be paid in connection with the analysis, design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Issuer reasonably expects that the maximum principal amount of obligations issued to reimburse the Issuer for the costs associated with the Financed Property will be $\$ 273,500,000$.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14- $\qquad$
Date Passed: 2/26/14

## ATTACHMENT "A" TO RESOLUTION 14-

DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT

## Purpose/Project

Amount
The MoPac South Project, which may include, without limitation, \$273,500,000 (i) approximately 8.0 miles of tolled managed lanes within the Loop 1 corridor from Cesar Chavez Street southerly to Slaughter Lane, and (ii) toll collection equipment and other facilities and equipment necessary or incidental to the project.


## AGENDA ITEM \#10 SUMMARY

Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the 183 North project.

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility
Department: Finance
Associated Costs: N/A
Funding Source: N/A
Board Action Required: Yes
Description of Matter:
The attached resolution will allow the Mobility Authority to reimburse expenditures made from future bond proceeds.

Reference documentation:
Draft Resolution

Contact for further information:
Bill Chapman, Chief Financial Officer
Cindy Demers, Controller
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# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

## RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$ <br> Expressing Intent to Finance Expenditures To Be Incurred for the 183 North Project.

WHEREAS, the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the "Issuer") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas authorized to finance its activities by issuing obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer will make, or has made not more than 60 days prior to the date hereof, payments with respect to the design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the project listed on Attachment A to this resolution (the "Financed Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Issuer has concluded that it does not currently desire to issue obligations to finance the costs associated with the Financed Property; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer desires to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer reasonably expects to issue obligations to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse itself for costs that have been or will be paid subsequent to the date that is 60 days prior to the date hereof and that are to be paid in connection with the analysis, design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Issuer reasonably expects that the maximum principal amount of obligations issued to reimburse the Issuer for the costs associated with the Financed Property will be $\$ 286,000,000$.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14- $\qquad$
Date Passed: 2/26/14

## ATTACHMENT "A" TO RESOLUTION 14-

 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
## Purpose/Project

The 183 North Project, which may include, without limitation, \$286,000,000 (i) approximately 7.8 miles of tolled managed lanes from RM 620 southerly to Loop 1 (MoPac) and along MoPac from US 183 to RM2222, and (ii) toll collection equipment and other facilities and equipment necessary or incidental to the project.


## AGENDA ITEM \#11 SUMMARY

Adopt a resolution expressing intent to finance expenditures to be incurred for development of the MoKan Corridor project.

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility
Department: Finance
Associated Costs: N/A
Funding Source: N/A
Board Action Required: Yes
Description of Matter:
The attached resolution will allow the Mobility Authority to reimburse expenditures made from future bond proceeds.

Reference documentation:
Draft Resolution

Contact for further information:
Bill Chapman, Chief Financial Officer
Cindy Demers, Controller
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# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

## RESOLUTION NO. 14- <br> $\qquad$ <br> Expressing Intent to Finance Expenditures To Be Incurred for the MoKan Corridor Project.

WHEREAS, the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority (the "Issuer") is a political subdivision of the State of Texas authorized to finance its activities by issuing obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer will make, or has made not more than 60 days prior to the date hereof, payments with respect to the design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the project listed on Attachment A to this resolution (the "Financed Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Issuer has concluded that it does not currently desire to issue obligations to finance the costs associated with the Financed Property; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer desires to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Issuer reasonably expects to issue obligations to reimburse itself for the costs associated with the Financed Property.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Issuer reasonably expects to reimburse itself for costs that have been or will be paid subsequent to the date that is 60 days prior to the date hereof and that are to be paid in connection with the analysis, design, acquisition, construction, reconstruction, or renovation of the Financed Property from the proceeds of obligations to be issued subsequent to the date hereof; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Issuer reasonably expects that the maximum principal amount of obligations issued to reimburse the Issuer for the costs associated with the Financed Property will be $\$ 706,000,000$.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14- $\qquad$
Date Passed: 2/26/14

## ATTACHMENT "A" TO RESOLUTION 14-

 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT
## Purpose/Project

Amount

The MoKan Corridor Project, which may include, without limitation, \$706,000,000 (i) approximately 28 miles of tolled managed lanes between Georgetown to US 183 along abandoned railroad right-of-way, and (ii) toll collection equipment and other facilities and equipment necessary or incidental to the project.


Accept the financial statements for January 2014.

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

| Department: | Finance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Associated Costs: | None |
| Funding Source: | None |
| Board Action Required: | YES |

Description of Matter:
Presentation and acceptance of the monthly financial statements for January 2014
Attached documentation for reference:
Draft Resolution
Draft Financial Statements for January 2014
Contact for further information:
Cindy Demers, Controller

# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

RESOLUTION NO. 14- $\qquad$

## ACCEPT THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR JANUARY 2014.

WHEREAS, the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority ("Mobility Authority") is empowered to procure such goods and services as it deems necessary to assist with its operations and to study and develop potential transportation projects, and is responsible to insure accurate financial records are maintained using sound and acceptable financial practices; and

WHEREAS, close scrutiny of the Mobility Authority's expenditures for goods and services, including those related to project development, as well as close scrutiny of the Mobility Authority's financial condition and records is the responsibility of the Board and its designees through procedures the Board may implement from time to time; and

WHEREAS, the Board has adopted policies and procedures intended to provide strong fiscal oversight and which authorize the Executive Director, working with the Mobility Authority's Chief Financial Officer, to review invoices, approve disbursements, and prepare and maintain accurate financial records and reports; and

WHEREAS, the Executive Director, working with the Chief Financial Officer, has reviewed and authorized the disbursements necessary for the month of January 2014, and has caused Financial Statements to be prepared and attached to this resolution as Attachments A.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors accepts the Financial Statements for January 2014, attached as Attachments A.

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the 26th day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central
Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14-
Date Passed: 2/26/2014

## Attachment A

Financial Statements for January 2014

# Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority 

Balance Sheet
As of
January 31, 2014
January 31, 2013
Assets
Current Assets

| Cash in Regions Operating Account | 2,183,204 |  | 218,144 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash In TexSTAR | 59,797 |  | 145,778 |  |
| Regions Payroll Account | 268,027 |  | 25,090 |  |
| Restricted cash/cash equivalents |  |  |  |  |
| Fidelity Government MMA | 111,369,656 |  | 86,435,435 |  |
| Restricted Cash-TexStar | 7,667,953 |  | 52,409,784 |  |
| Overpayment accounts | 27,323 |  | 37,075 |  |
| Total Cash and Cash Equivalents |  | 121,575,960 |  | 139,271,306 |
| Accounts Receivable | 9,469 |  | 15,302 |  |
| Due From TTA | 140,441 |  | 0 |  |
| Due From NTTA | 147,191 |  | 58,030 |  |
| Due From HCTRA | 129,417 |  | 95,446 |  |
| Due From TxDOT | 24,124,096 |  | 1,377,710 |  |
| Due From Federal Government | 0 |  | 154,489 |  |
| Interest Receivable | 186,488 |  | 551,194 |  |
| Total Receivables |  | 24,737,102 |  | 2,252,170 |
| Short Term Investments |  |  |  |  |
| Short Term Investments |  | 67,088,268 |  | 122,423,363 |
| Other Current Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Prepaid Insurance |  | 62,206 |  | 40,955 |
| Total Current Assets |  | 213,463,536 |  | 264,012,637 |
| Construction Work In Process |  | 383,175,404 |  | 308,782,108 |
| Fixed Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Computers(net) |  | 94,168 |  | 25,089 |
| Computer Software(net) |  | 414,960 |  | $(25,672)$ |
| Furniture and Fixtures(net) |  | 0 |  | 10,973 |
| Equipment(net) |  | 8,887 |  | 29,116 |
| Autos and Trucks(net) |  | 10,347 |  | 17,246 |
| Buildings and Toll Facilities(net) |  | 5,906,574 |  | 6,075,473 |
| Highways and Bridges(net) |  | 321,984,655 |  | 276,113,500 |
| Communication Equipment(net) |  | 653,717 |  | 840,130 |
| Toll Equipment(net) |  | 11,400,204 |  | 9,118,481 |
| Signs(net) |  | 8,687,412 |  | 5,989,234 |
| Land Improvements(net) |  | 7,015,272 |  | 3,315,990 |
| Right of Way |  | 46,642,851 |  | 24,800,630 |
| Leasehold Improvements |  | 176,489 |  | 50,815 |
| Total Fixed Assets |  | 402,995,537 |  | 326,361,005 |

## Long Term Investments

## Other Assets

Security Deposits
Intangible Assets
2005 Bond Insurance Costs
Total Assets
15,032,417
8,644

## Liabilities

## Current Liabilities

Accounts Payable
Overpayments
Interest Payable
Due to other Funds
Deferred Compensation Payable
TCDRS Payable
Medical Reimbursement Payable
Due to other Entities
FICA Payable
FICA MED Payable
Federal Withholding Payable
Total Current Liabilities
Long Term Liabilities
Accrued Vac \& Sick Leave Paybl
Senior Lien Revenue Bonds 2005
Senior Lien Revenue Bonds 2010
Senior Lien Revenue Bonds 2011
Senior Refunding Bonds 2013
Sn Lien Rev Bnd Prem/Disc 2010
Sn Lien Rev Bnd Prem/Disc 2011
Sn Lien Rev Bnd Prem/Disc 2013
Subordinated Lien Bond 2010
Subordinated Lien Bond 2011
Subordinated Refunding Bonds 2013
Sub Lien Bond 2011 Prem/Disc
Sub Lien Bond 2013 Prem/Disc
TIFIA note 2008
2011 Regions Draw Down Note
2013 American Bank Loan
Total Long Term Liabilities
Total Liabilities
Net Assets Section
Contributed Capital
Net Assets beginning
Current Year Operations
Total Net Assets
Total Liabilities and Net Assets

1,043,452
176,094
28,767
38,143
3,608,999
171,248
0
32,946
(50)

7,509
3
$(7,493)$
7,500
4,034,900

|  | 189,089 |  | 189,089 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 170,404,728 |  |
| 106,656,121 |  | 103,293,630 |  |
| 307,675,269 |  | 306,971,392 |  |
| 185,810,000 |  | 0 |  |
| 109,583 |  | 147,562 |  |
| $(3,636,153)$ |  | $(3,787,528)$ |  |
| 17,956,008 |  | 0 |  |
|  | 0 |  | 45,000,000 |
|  | 70,000,000 |  | 70,000,000 |
|  | 103,960,000 |  |  |
|  | $(1,927,847)$ |  | $(2,025,828)$ |
|  | 4,000,013 |  |  |
|  | 0 |  | 77,506,077 |
|  | 3,049,820 |  | 1,200,000 |
|  | 5,300,000 |  |  |
|  | 799,141,902 |  | 773,461,982 |
|  | 803,757,926 |  | 777,496,882 |

153,684,998
85,879,931
32,170,908
118,050,839
913,882,567

## Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority <br> Income Statement <br> All Operating Departments

Budget
Amount
Actual
Percent
Actual
FY 2014
Year to Date of Prior Year to Date 1/31/2014
Budget 1/31/2013

## Revenue

Operating Revenue
Toll Revenue-TxTag-Manor
Toll Revenue-TxTag-183A
Toll Revenue-HCTRA-183A
Toll Revenue-HCTRA Manor
Toll Revenue-NTTA-183A
$1,188,228$
$29,507,860$
884,163
173,689
580,498
77,633
$4,243,980$
452,664
$1,661,750$
179,820

| $1,246,369$ | $104.89 \%$ | 124,175 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $13,697,117$ | $46.42 \%$ | $12,274,299$ |
| 717,529 | $81.15 \%$ | 569,628 |
| 179,529 | $103.36 \%$ | 15,939 |
| 537,511 | $92.59 \%$ | 370,493 |
| 54,431 | $70.11 \%$ | 3,582 |
| $3,270,682$ | $77.07 \%$ | $2,635,221$ |
| 428,155 | $94.59 \%$ | - |
| $1,289,896$ | $77.62 \%$ | $1,042,432$ |
| 299,811 | $166.73 \%$ | - |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 7 , 0 3 5 , 7 6 7}$ |

## Other Revenue

Interest Income

| 180,000 | 66,365 | $36.87 \%$ | 138,876 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,236,000$ | $43,958,191$ | $3556 \%$ | $37,875,420$ |
| - | - | $0.00 \%$ | 34,774 |
| 92,500 | 337,761 | $365.15 \%$ | 217,776 |
| - | - | $0.00 \%$ | 42,708 |

Total Other Revenue

## Total Revenue

|  | $1,508,500$ |  | $44,362,316$ | $2941 \%$ | $38,309,555$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$$ | $40,458,785$ | $\$$ | $66,083,345$ | $163.33 \%$ | $\$$ |

## Expenses

Salaries and Wages
Salary Expense-Regular
Part Time Salary Expense
Overtime Salary Expense
Contractual Employees Expense
TCDRS
FICA
2,185,005

| $1,312,328$ | $60.06 \%$ | $1,074,438$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | $0.00 \%$ | 480 |
| - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| - | $0.00 \%$ | 1,202 |
| 182,336 | $57.42 \%$ | 143,564 |
| 46,989 | $45.96 \%$ | 33,742 |
| 19,187 | $60.15 \%$ | 15,632 |
| 107,617 | $55.74 \%$ | 106,719 |
| 1,466 | $24.95 \%$ | 3,151 |

## Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority <br> Income Statement <br> All Operating Departments

|  | Budget | Actual | Percent | Actual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Year to Date | of | Prior Year to Date |
| Account Name | FY 2014 | $1 / 31 / 2014$ | Budget | $1 / 31 / 2013$ |


| Auto Allowance Expense | 10,200 | 5,100 | $50.00 \%$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Other Benefits | 190,261 | 64,162 | $33.72 \%$ | 50,020 |
| Unemployment Taxes | 12,960 | 155 | $1.20 \%$ | 3,354 |
| Salary Reserve | 50,000 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3 , 1 1 9 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 9 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 7 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 4 3 2 , \mathbf { 3 0 2 }}$ |

## Contractual Services

## Professional Services

| Accounting | 12,000 | 7,943 | $66.19 \%$ | 3,703 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auditing | 65,000 | 51,480 | $79.20 \%$ | 44,990 |
| General Engineering Consultant | 460,000 | 3,800 | $0.83 \%$ | 121,315 |
| GEC-Trust Indenture Support | 75,000 | 29,250 | $39.00 \%$ | - |
| GEC-Financial Planning Support | 50,000 | 36,715 | $73.43 \%$ | 31,640 |
| GEC-Toll Ops Support | 5,000 | 879 | $17.59 \%$ | - |
| GEC-Roadway Ops Support | 325,000 | 97,363 | $29.96 \%$ | 62,749 |
| GEC-Technology Support | 50,000 | 92,061 | $184.12 \%$ | 12,517 |
| GEC-Public Information Support | 10,000 | 461 | $4.61 \%$ | 6,619 |
| GEC-General Support | 275,000 | 128,107 | $46.58 \%$ | 110,816 |
| General System Consultant | 175,000 | 36,692 | $20.97 \%$ | 6,028 |
| Image Processing - 183A | $1,140,000$ | 645,874 | $56.66 \%$ | 566,892 |
| Image Processing - Manor | 120,000 | 157,091 | $130.91 \%$ | - |
| Facility maintenance® | - | 5,577 |  | 4,621 |
| HERO | $1,629,000$ | 676,778 | $41.55 \%$ | 591,184 |
| Special Projects | - | 63,500 |  | - |
| Human Resources | 50,000 | 5,846 | $11.69 \%$ | 9,429 |
| Legal | 250,000 | 94,618 | $37.85 \%$ | 152,175 |
| Photography | 10,000 | 1,125 | $11.25 \%$ | - |
| Traffic and Revenue Consultant | 5,000 | 26,650 | $532.99 \%$ | - |
| Communications and Marketing | - | - | $0.00 \%$ | 92,563 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Professional Services | $4,706,000$ | $2,161,812$ | $45.94 \%$ | $1,817,241$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Contractual Services |  |  | 21,367 | $33.92 \%$ |
| IT Services | 11,819 | $29.55 \%$ | 20,237 |  |
| Graphic Design Services | 17,847 | $50.99 \%$ | - |  |
| Website Maintenance |  |  |  |  |

## Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority <br> Income Statement All Operating Departments

| Account Name | Budget <br> Amount <br> FY 2014 | Actual Year to Date 1/31/2014 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Budget } \end{gathered}$ | Actual Prior Year to Date 1/31/2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Research Services | 50,000 | 5,033 | 10.07\% | 3,149 |
| Copy Machine | 10,000 | 4,377 | 43.77\% | 2,824 |
| Software Licenses | 17,200 | 7,387 | 42.95\% | 7,387 |
| ETC Maintenance Contract | 1,291,625 | 322,958 | 25.00\% | 343,567 |
| ETC Development | 125,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| ETC Testing | 30,000 | - | 0.00\% |  |
| Communications and Marketing | 140,000 | 94,254 | 67.32\% | 204 |
| Advertising Expense | 60,000 | 33,411 | 55.68\% | 56,368 |
| Direct Mail | 5,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Video Production | 20,000 | 5,050 | 25.25\% | 20,920 |
| Radio | 10,000 | - | 0.00\% |  |
| Other Public Relations | 2,500 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Law Enforcement | 250,000 | 177,531 | 71.01\% | 95,981 |
| Special assignments | 5,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Traffic Management | - | - | 0.00\% | 14,417 |
| Emergency Maintenance | 10,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Generator Maintenance | 20,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Generator Fuel | 9,000 | 596 | 6.62\% | - |
| Fire and Burglar Alarm | 3,660 | - | 0.00\% | 114 |
| Elevator Maintenance | 2,640 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Refuse | 780 | 255 | 32.69\% | - |
| Pest Control | 1,536 | 512 | 33.33\% | - |
| Custodial | 4,440 | 860 | 19.37\% | - |
| Roadway Maintenance - 183A | 750,000 | 11,092 | 1.48\% | 103,918 |
| Roadway Maintenance - 290 | - | 12,979 |  | - |
| Landscape Maintenance | 250,000 | 65,292 | 26.12\% | 89,000 |
| Signal \& Illumination Maint | - | 20,169 |  | 44,898 |
| Mowing and litter control | - | - | 0.00\% | 40,806 |
| Graffitti removal | - | - | 0.00\% | 225 |
| Cell Phones | 10,000 | 7,001 | 70.01\% | 4,525 |
| Local Telephone Service | 25,000 | 8,744 | 34.98\% | 8,254 |
| Internet | 6,000 | 686 | 11.44\% | - |
| Fiber Optic System | 30,000 | 42,099 | 140.33\% | 16,780 |
| Other Communication Expenses | 1,000 | 376 | 37.64\% | 102 |
| Subscriptions | 1,850 | 108 | 5.86\% | 107 |
| Memberships | 34,600 | 27,618 | 79.82\% | 24,955 |
| Continuing Education | 7,300 | 596 | 8.16\% | 2,845 |

## Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority <br> Income Statement <br> All Operating Departments

$\left.\begin{array}{lrccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Budget } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\ \text { Year to Date } \\ \text { FY 2014 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Percent } \\ \text { of }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Actual } \\ \text { Prior Year to Date } \\ 1 / 31 / 2014\end{array} \\ \text { Account Name } & & & & \\ \text { Budget }\end{array}\right]$

| Materials and Supplies |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Books \& Publications | 6,500 | 1,373 | $21.13 \%$ | 4,283 |
| Office Supplies | 10,000 | 7,209 | $72.09 \%$ | 1,235 |
| Computer Supplies | 12,500 | 7,752 | $62.02 \%$ | 3,998 |
| Copy Supplies | 2,200 | 187 | $8.49 \%$ | 745 |
| Annual Report printing | 7,000 | 1,944 | $27.77 \%$ | - |
| Other Reports-Printing | 10,000 | 13 | $0.13 \%$ | 2,901 |
| Direct Mail Printing | 5,000 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Office Supplies-Printed | 2,500 | 484 | $19.38 \%$ | - |
| Maintenance Supplies-Roadway | 9,175 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Promotional Items | 10,000 | 370 | $3.70 \%$ | 4,827 |
| Displays | 5,000 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| ETC spare parts expense | 30,000 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| Tools \& Equipment Expense | 1,000 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $4.29 \%$ | - |
| Misc Materials \& Supplies | 3,000 | 122 | $37.39 \%$ | - |
| Total Materials and Supplies |  |  |  | $\mathbf{-}$ |

## Operating Expenses

| Gasoline | 5,500 | 1,755 | $31.91 \%$ | 2,107 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mileage Reimbursement | 6,750 | 3,014 | $44.65 \%$ | 2,774 |
| Toll Tag Expense | 2,700 | 141 | $5.21 \%$ | 1,390 |
| Parking | 3,175 | 1,677 | $52.82 \%$ | 19,653 |
| Meeting Facilities | 250 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |
| CommunityMeeting/ Events | 5,000 | - | $0.00 \%$ | - |

## Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority <br> Income Statement <br> All Operating Departments

| Account Name | Budget <br> Amount <br> FY 2014 | Actual Year to Date 1/31/2014 | Percent of Budget | Actual Prior Year to Date $1 / 31 / 2013$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meeting Expense | 17,300 | 3,341 | 19.31\% | 8,147 |
| Public Notices | 2,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Postage Expense | 5,650 | 339 | 6.00\% | 221 |
| Overnight Delivery Services | 1,700 | 165 | 9.73\% | 140 |
| Local Delivery Services | 1,150 | - | 0.00\% | 12 |
| Insurance Expense | 90,000 | 57,286 | 63.65\% | 36,106 |
| Repair \& Maintenance-General | 500 | 921 | 184.14\% | 403 |
| Repair \& Maintenance-Vehicles | 500 | 792 | 158.32\% | 109 |
| Repair \& Maintenace Toll Equip | 5,000 | 170 | 3.40\% | 400 |
| Rent Expense | 400,000 | 210,574 | 52.64\% | 127,725 |
| Water | 7,500 | 3,640 | 48.54\% | 4,274 |
| Electricity | 180,000 | 58,200 | 32.33\% | 37,731 |
| Other Licenses | 700 | 470 | 67.14\% | 494 |
| Community Initiative Grants | 65,000 | 50,000 | 76.92\% | 30,000 |
| Non Cash Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Amortization Expense | 25,000 | 58,341 | 233.36\% | 199,636 |
| Amort Expense - Refund Savings | - | 599,585 |  | - |
| Dep Exp- Furniture \& Fixtures | 14,000 | - | 0.00\% | 936 |
| Dep Expense - Equipment | 17,000 | 12,247 | 72.04\% | 9,852 |
| Dep Expense - Autos \& Trucks | 7,000 | 4,024 | 57.49\% | 4,024 |
| Dep Expense-Buildng \& Toll Fac | 100,000 | 103,317 | 103.32\% | 102,992 |
| Dep Expense-Highways \& Bridges | 9,000,000 | 5,253,632 | 58.37\% | 3,790,468 |
| Dep Expense-Communic Equip | 175,000 | 114,401 | 65.37\% | 111,312 |
| Dep Expense-Toll Equipment | 986,000 | 902,111 | 91.49\% | 521,244 |
| Dep Expense - Signs | 175,000 | 141,615 | 80.92\% | 87,262 |
| Dep Expense-Land Improvemts | 160,000 | 298,597 | 186.62\% | 76,383 |
| Depreciation Expense-Computers | 11,000 | 13,614 | 123.76\% | 6,560 |
| Total Operating Expenses | 11,470,375 | 7,893,967 | 68.82\% | 5,182,358 |
| Financing Expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Arbitrage Rebate Calculation | 6,000 | 6,630 | 110.50\% | 5,605 |
| Loan Fee Expense | 5,000 | - | 0.00\% | - |
| Rating Agency Expense | 50,000 | 6,000 | 12.00\% | 11,000 |
| Trustee Fees | 8,000 | 5,913 | 73.91\% | 2,000 |
| Bank Fee Expense | 8,000 | 3,291 | 41.14\% | 3,425 |

## Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority Income Statement All Operating Departments

| Account Name |  | Budget <br> Amount <br> FY 2014 |  | Actual Year to Date 1/31/2014 | Percent of Budget | Actual <br> Prior Year to Date 1/31/2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continuing Disclosure |  | 4,000 |  | - | 0.00\% |  | - |
| Interest Expense |  | 20,796,755 |  | 8,517,168 | 40.95\% |  | 12,752,930 |
| Contingency |  | 15,000 |  | - | 0.00\% |  | - |
| Non Cash Financing Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bond issuance expense |  | 400,000 |  | 22,123 | 5.53\% |  | 254,506 |
| Total Financing Expenses |  | 21,292,755 |  | 8,561,125 | 40.21\% |  | 13,029,467 |
| Other Gains or Losses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Other Gains or Losses |  |  |  |  | 0.00\% |  |  |
| Total Expenses | \$ | 46,317,887 | \$ | 22,256,565 | 48.05\% | \$ | 23,142,381 |
| Net Income | \$ | $(5,859,102)$ | \$ | 43,826,780 |  | \$ | 32,202,941 |

## Cash flows from operating activities:

Receipts from Department of Transportation ..... \$
Receipts from toll feesReceipts from other fees
Receipts from interest income
Receipts from other sources ..... 2,732,040
Payments to vendors ..... $(5,789,425)$
Payments to employees and benefits ..... $(1,766,530)$Net cash flows used in operating activities381,41263,924,349

45,183,082
23,183,769
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities:
Payments on interest$(36,152,723)$
Payment on Bonds/Notes$(974,749)$
Acquisitions of property and equipment$(183,794)$
Acquisitions of construction in progress$(86,460,039)$
Proceeds from Loans and NotesNet cash flows used in capital and related financing activities$(121,721,304)$
Cash flows from investing activities:
Purchase of investments$(26,955,056)$
Proceeds from sale or maturity of investmentsNet cash flows provided by investing activities
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents$(28,472,480)$Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of July 2013Cash and cash equivalents at end of January 2014

Balance
January 31, 2014

| Renewal \& Replacement Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TexSTAR | 61,318.05 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 600,002.30 |  |  |
| Agencies |  |  | 661,320.35 |
| TxDOT Grant Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 82,178.30 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 620,581.73 |  |  |
| CD's | 3,000,000.00 |  |  |
| Agencies | 5,750,738.47 |  | 9,453,498.50 |
| Senior Debt Service Reserve Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 589,915.32 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 14,453,402.11 |  |  |
| Agencies | 33,130,056.69 |  | 48,173,374.12 |
| 2010 Senior Lien DSF |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 299,189.28 |  |  |
| TexSTAR | - |  | 299,189.28 |
| 2011 Debt Service Acct |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 8,314,006.29 |  | 8,314,006.29 |
| 2013 Sr Debt Service Acct |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 899,323.57 |  | 899,323.57 |
| 2013 Sub Debt Serrvice Account |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 530,641.32 |  | 530,641.32 |
| 2010 Senior Lien DSRF |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | - |  |  |
| 2011 Sub Debt DSRF |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 2,024,426.18 |  |  |
| CD's | 5,000,000.00 |  | 7,024,426.18 |
| Operating Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 59,796.68 |  |  |
| TexSTAR-Trustee | 1,968,607.29 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | - |  | 2,028,403.97 |
| Revenue Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 1.00 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 1,661,740.77 |  | 1,661,741.77 |
| General Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 53.78 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 9,118,846.99 |  |  |
| Agencies | 5,016,720.65 |  | 14,135,621.42 |
| 2011 Sr Capitalized Interest Fund |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 38,542.88 |  |  |
| Agencies | - |  | 38,542.88 |
| 2011 Sub Capitalized Interest Fund |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 444.47 |  |  |
| Agencies | - |  | 444.47 |
| 2013 Sub Debt Service Reserve Fund |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 3,227,501.27 |  |  |
| Agencies | 5,158,377.47 |  | 8,385,878.74 |
| MoPac Construction Fund |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 26,555,320.95 |  | 26,555,320.95 |
| 2010-1 Sub Lien Projects Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 795,664.08 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | - |  | 795,664.08 |
| 2010 Senior Lien Construction Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 1.19 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 125,783.22 |  | 125,784.41 |
| 2011 Sub Debt Project fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 4,170,093.88 |  |  |
| Agencies |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 41,365,513.55 |  | 45,535,607.43 |
| 2011 Sr Financial Assistance Fund |  |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 88.15 |  | 88.15 |
| 2011 Senior Lien Project Fund |  |  |  |
| TexSTAR | 119.66 |  |  |
| Regions Sweep | 0.00 |  |  |
| Agencies | 10,032,375.00 |  | 10,032,494.66 |
|  |  | \$ | 184,651,372.54 |


| TexSTAR | $7,727,749.23$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| CD's | $8,000,000.00$ |
| Regions Sweep | $109,835,355.03$ |
| Agencies | $59,088,268.28$ |

Regions Sweep $109,835,355.03$
$59,088,268.28$

CTRMA INVESTMENT REPORT

Amount in Trustee TexStar
2011 Sub Lien Construction Fund
2011 Senior Lien Construction Fund 2010 Senior Lien Construction Fund 2010-1 Sub Liien Projects
General Fund
Trustee Operating Fund
Renewal and Replacement
TxDOT Grant Fund
Revenue Fund
Senior Lien Debt Service Reserve Fund

## Amount in TexStar Operating Fund

Regions Sweep Money Market Fund Operating Fund
2010 Senior Lien Project Acct
2011 Sub Lien Project Acct
2011 Senior Lien Project Acct
2011 Sr Financial Assistance Fund 2010 Senior DSF
2011 Senior Lien Debt Service Acct 2013 Senior Lien Debt Service Acct 2013 Subordinate Debt Service Acct 2011 Sr Cap I Fund
2011 Sub Debt CAP
TxDOT Grant Fund
Renewal and Replacement
Revenue Fund
General Fund
2010 Sr Debt Service Reserve Fund 2011 Sub Debt Service Reserve Fund Senior Lien Debt Service Reserve Fund 2013 Sub Debt Service Reserve Fund MoPac Managed Lane Construction Fund

## Amount in Fed Agencies and Treasuries

Amortized Principal
Accrued Interest

## Certificates of Deposit

Total in Pools
Total in Money Market
Total in Fed Agencies
Total Invested



| Amount of investments As of |  |  |  | January 31, 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agency | CUSIP \# | COST | Book Value | Market Value | Yield to Maturity | Purchased | Matures FUND |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313378LX7 | 4,013,754.20 | 4,012,894.56 | 4,014,960.00 | 0.0267\% | 1/9/2014 | 4/30/2015 General |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313378M57 | 1,004,065.22 | 1,003,826.09 | 1,004,220.00 | 0.0028\% | 1/9/2014 | 5/29/2015 General |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 3133XWKV0 | 10,388,500.00 | 10,032,375.00 | 10,026,100.00 | 0.3791\% | 3/30/2012 | 3/14/2014 2011 Sr Project |
| Freddie Mac | 3137EADD8 | 1,004,940.00 | 1,002,470.00 | 1,003,830.00 | 0.2290\% | 12/3/2012 | 4/17/2015 TxDOT Grant Fund |
| Northside ISD | 66702RAG7 | 1,057,700.00 | 1,025,003.33 | 1,028,480.00 | 0.3580\% | 12/5/2012 | 2/15/2015 TxDOT Grant Fund |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313371KG0 | 1,019,000.00 | 1,018,136.36 | 1,018,890.00 | 0.3912\% | 1/9/2014 | 10/28/2015 TxDOT Grant Fund |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0QB2 | 1,001,990.00 | 1,001,899.55 | 2,708,235.00 | 0.0381\% | 1/9/2014 | 10/22/2015 TxDOT Grant Fund |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0QB2 | 1,703,383.00 | 1,703,229.23 | 2,708,235.00 | 0.0381\% | 1/9/2014 | 10/22/2015 TxDOT Grant Fund |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0BY8 | 8,081,952.00 | 8,030,984.94 | 8,034,400.00 | 0.2150\% | 2/8/2013 | 8/28/2014 Senior DSRF |
| Fannie Mae | 313560TW3 | CALLED | CALLED | CALLED | 0.4060\% | 2/8/2013 | 7/30/2015 Senior DSRF |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313371W51 | 12,217,422.00 | 12,099,651.75 | 12,102,840.00 | 0.2646\% | 2/8/2013 | 12/12/2014 Senior DSRF |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 3134G4T57 | 7,995,920.00 | 7,995,920.00 | 8,012,560.00 | 0.4750\% | 1/28/2014 | 1/28/2016 Senior DSRF |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0VA8 | 5,003,500.00 | 5,003,500.00 | 5,007,500.00 | 0.0468\% | 1/23/2014 | 3/1/3016 Senior DSRF |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 31398A3T7 | 5,164,996.34 | 5,158,377.47 | 5,163,963.70 | 0.3660\% | 1/9/2014 | 9/21/2015 2013 Sub DSRF |
|  |  | 59,657,122.76 | 59,088,268.28 | 40,941,955.00 |  |  |  |


| Agency | CUSIP \# | COST | Cummulative Amortization | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 / 31 / 2014 \\ \text { Book Value } \end{gathered}$ | Maturity Value | Interest Income |  | January 31, 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Accrued Interest | Amortization | Interest Earned |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313378LX7 | 4,013,754.20 | 859.64 | 4,012,894.56 | 4,000,000.00 | 2,650.00 | (859.64) | 1,790.36 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313378M57 | 1,004,065.22 | 239.13 | 1,003,826.09 | 1,000,000.00 | 570.00 | (239.13) | 330.87 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 3133XWKV0 | 10,388,500.00 | 356,125.00 | 10,032,375.00 | 10,000,000.00 | 19,791.67 | $(16,187.50)$ | 3,604.17 |
| Freddie Mac | 3137EADD8 | 1,004,940.00 | 2,470.00 | 1,002,470.00 | 1,000,000.00 | 416.67 | (164.67) | 252.00 |
| Northside ISD | 66702RAG7 | 1,057,700.00 | 32,696.67 | 1,025,003.33 | 1,000,000.00 | 2,500.00 | $(1,923.33)$ | 576.67 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313371KG0 | 1,019,000.00 | 863.64 | 1,018,136.36 | 1,000,000.00 | 1,812.50 | (863.64) | 948.86 |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0QB2 | 1,001,990.00 | 90.45 | 1,001,899.55 | 1,000,000.00 | 625.00 | (90.45) | 534.55 |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0QB2 | 1,703,383.00 | 153.77 | 1,703,229.23 | 1,700,000.00 | 1,062.50 | (153.77) | 908.73 |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0BY8 | 8,081,952.00 | 50,967.06 | 8,030,984.94 | 8,000,000.00 | 5,833.33 | $(4,426.42)$ | 1,406.91 |
| Fannie Mae | 313560TW3 | CALLED | CALLED | CALLED | 5,000,000.00 |  |  | 0.00 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 313371W51 | 12,217,422.00 | 117,770.25 | 12,099,651.75 | 12,000,000.00 | 12,500.00 | $(9,059.25)$ | 3,440.75 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 3134G4T57 | 7,995,920.00 | - | 7,995,920.00 | 8,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Fannie Mae | 3135G0VA8 | 5,003,500.00 |  | 5,003,500.00 | 5,000,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank | 31398A3T7 | 5,164,996.34 | 6,618.87 | 5,158,377.47 | 5,026,000.00 | 16,753.33 | $(6,618.87)$ | 10,134.46 |
|  |  | 59,657,122.76 | 568,854.48 | 59,088,268.28 | 63,726,000.00 | 25,928.34 | (40,586.67) | 6,554.07 |

January 31, $2014 \quad$ Certificates of Deposit Outstanding

| Bank | CUSIP \# | COST | Yield to Maturity | Purchased | Matures | January 31, 2014 Interest |  | FUND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compass Bank | CD9932129 | 3,000,000 | 0.35\% | 8/27/2012 | 2/27/2014 | \$ | 863.01 | TxDOT Grant Fund |
| Compass Bank | CD 02636 | 5,000,000 | 0.35\% | 2/5/2013 |  | \$ | 1,458.33 | 2011 Sub DSRF |
|  |  | 8,000,000 |  |  |  | \$ | 2,321.34 |  |



# Monthly Newsletter - January 2014 

## Periomance

| As of January 31, 2014 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Current Invested Balance | $\$ 5,518,659,649.58$ |
| Weighted Average Maturity (1) | 50 Days |
| Weighted Average Maturity (2) | 69 Days |
| Net Asset Value | 1.000048 |
| Total Number of Participants | 781 |
| Management Fee on Invested Balance | $0.05 \%{ }^{*}$ |
| Interest Distributed | $\$ 349,799.64$ |
| Management Fee Collected | $\$ 217,725.80$ |
| \% of Portfolio Invested Beyond 1 Year | $1.43 \%$ |
| Standard \& Poor's Current Rating | AAAm |
| Rates reflect historical information and are not an indication of future performance. |  |

## Holiday Reminder

In observance of Presidents' Day, TexSTAR will be closed Monday, February 17, 2014. All ACH transactions initiated on Friday, February 14th will settle on Tuesday, February 18th.

## Transaction Conilimations

When a Participant initiates a transaction using the internet system, a transaction confirmation, including a confirmation number, is presented online upon completion of the transaction which can be printed. In addition, Participants are mailed daily transaction confirmations for all transactions initiated on the internet transaction system.
If you do not wish to receive mailed daily transaction confirmations, please use the attached form to discontinue these mailings. You will still receive your mailed monthly account statements even if you discontinue the mailed transaction confirmations.
Email confirmations of your transactions are also available. Please contact TexSTAR Participant Services at 800-839-7827 or by email at texstar@firstsw.com to enable this functionality for your account.

## Economic Commentary

After strong gains in 2013, markets generally stumbled in January. Global equity markets largely ended the month down, and most spread sectors widened. Meanwhile, Treasury markets rallied, as the U.S. Treasury yield curve between two and five- year maturities flattened by 20 basis points (bps) on the month. The yield of the two-year note decreased 5 bps to $0.33 \%$, and the yield of the five-year note fell 25 bps to $1.49 \%$. While the weak December U.S. employment report was generally dismissed as an anomaly due to weather, the other economic data during the month reflected less strength than expected. Despite the recent slowdown in economic data and the subsequent rate rally in January, the view on the economy remains largely unchanged. Above-trend growth for the U.S. over the medium term is expected, led by the consumer, as the political uncertainty and the effects of the fiscal drag of 2013 fade away. Consumers ended the fourth quarter of 2013 with positive momentum that should continue into 2014. The first quarter should see a rebound in government spending. The drop in federal government spending attributable to the shutdown in October should reverse as federal employees work a full quarter. Furthermore, as tax receipts continue to strengthen, state and local governments should be in a better position to increase spending and reverse the contraction observed over the last few years. Assuming a successful increase of the debt ceiling, less uncertain fiscal policy should also have positive secondary effects on the economy relative to last year. The Federal Reserve's reduction of accommodation should, all else being equal, continue to bias rates higher, though it is expected the Fed will manage the rate rise without impairing growth. In the case that tapering appears to choke off growth, the Fed would be prepared to suspend tapering or add back accommodation, likely by strengthening forward rate guidance.

[^8]For more information about TexSTAR, please visit our web site at www.texstar.org.

## Information at a Glance



## Portiolio Asset Summary as of January 31, 2014

|  | Book Value | Market Value |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uninvested Balance | $\$$ | $(6,705.06)$ | $\$$ |
| Accrual of Interest Income | $2,260,610.79$ | $(6,705.06)$ |  |
| Interest and Management Fees Payable | $(398,709.32)$ | $2,260,610.79$ |  |
| Payable for Investment Purchased | $(60,610,743.86)$ | $(60,610,709.32)$ |  |
| Repurchase Agreement | $1,000,493,000.00$ | $1,000,493,000.00$ |  |
| Government Securities | $4,576,922,197.03$ | $4,577,158,444.66$ |  |
|  | $\$ \mathbf{5 , 5 1 8 , 6 5 9 , 6 4 9 . 5 8}$ | $\$ \mathbf{5 , 5 1 8 , 8 9 5 , 8 9 7 . 2 1}$ |  |
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 Statements. The T-Bill Yield is taken from Bloomberg Finance L.P. and represents the daily closing yield on the then current 90 -day T-Bill.

## Daily Summary for January 2014

| Date | Mny Mkt Fund <br> Equiv. [SEC Std.] | Daily Allocation <br> Factor | TexSTAR Invested <br> Balance | Market Value <br> Per Share | WAM <br> Days (1)* | WAM <br> Days (2)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 1 / 2014$ | $0.0272 \%$ | 0.000000746 | $\$ 4,749,571,555.83$ | 1.000050 | 47 | 60 |
| $1 / 2 / 2014$ | $0.0294 \%$ | 0.000000805 | $\$ 4,815,354,995.15$ | 1.000063 | 47 | 59 |
| $1 / 3 / 2014$ | $0.0341 \%$ | 0.000000933 | $\$ 4,950,862,078.81$ | 1.000045 | 44 | 56 |
| $1 / 4 / 2014$ | $0.0341 \%$ | 0.000000933 | $\$ 4,950,862,078.81$ | 1.000045 | 44 | 56 |
| $1 / 5 / 2014$ | $0.0341 \%$ | 0.000000933 | $\$ 4,950,862,078.81$ | 1.000045 | 44 | 56 |
| $1 / 6 / 2014$ | $0.0300 \%$ | 0.000000823 | $\$ 5,013,355,442.43$ | 1.000056 | 47 | 62 |
| $1 / 7 / 2014$ | $0.0319 \%$ | 0.000000873 | $\$ 5,000,546,524.97$ | 1.000056 | 50 | 65 |
| $1 / 8 / 2014$ | $0.0280 \%$ | 0.000000768 | $\$ 5,061,964,342.84$ | 1.000059 | 49 | 64 |
| $1 / 9 / 2014$ | $0.0267 \%$ | 0.000000732 | $\$ 5,075,083,584.37$ | 1.000064 | 48 | 63 |
| $1 / 10 / 2014$ | $0.0273 \%$ | 0.000000748 | $\$ 5,204,542,040.58$ | 1.000070 | 46 | 61 |
| $1 / 11 / 2014$ | $0.0273 \%$ | 0.000000748 | $\$ 5,204,542,040.58$ | 1.000070 | 46 | 61 |
| $1 / 12 / 2014$ | $0.0273 \%$ | 0.000000748 | $\$ 5,204,542,040.58$ | 1.000070 | 46 | 61 |
| $1 / 13 / 2014$ | $0.0275 \%$ | 0.000000753 | $\$ 5,140,754,183.14$ | 1.000070 | 46 | 60 |
| $1 / 14 / 2014$ | $0.0277 \%$ | 0.000000760 | $\$ 5,172,013,338.70$ | 1.000071 | 48 | 62 |
| $1 / 15 / 2014$ | $0.0296 \%$ | 0.000000812 | $\$ 5,037,323,166.85$ | 1.000075 | 50 | 65 |
| $1 / 16 / 2014$ | $0.0294 \%$ | 0.000000806 | $\$ 5,031,773,504.75$ | 1.000071 | 54 | 69 |
| $1 / 17 / 2014$ | $0.0323 \%$ | 0.000000885 | $\$ 5,079,723,779.70$ | 1.000057 | 51 | 65 |
| $1 / 18 / 2014$ | $0.0323 \%$ | 0.000000885 | $\$ 5,079,723,779.70$ | 1.000057 | 51 | 65 |
| $1 / 19 / 2014$ | $0.0323 \%$ | 0.000000885 | $\$ 5,079,723,779.70$ | 1.000057 | 51 | 65 |
| $1 / 20 / 2014$ | $0.0323 \%$ | 0.000000885 | $\$ 5,079,723,779.70$ | 1.000057 | 51 | 65 |
| $1 / 21 / 2014$ | $0.0311 \%$ | 0.000000852 | $\$ 5,104,102,124.00$ | 1.000063 | 52 | 66 |
| $1 / 22 / 2014$ | $0.0293 \%$ | 0.000000804 | $\$ 5,193,302,060.17$ | 1.000063 | 52 | 66 |
| $1 / 23 / 2014$ | $0.0295 \%$ | 0.000000808 | $\$ 5,181,424,129.76$ | 1.000063 | 50 | 71 |
| $1 / 24 / 2014$ | $0.0293 \%$ | 0.000000803 | $\$ 5,198,865,418.56$ | 1.000051 | 48 | 68 |
| $1 / 25 / 2014$ | $0.0293 \%$ | 0.000000803 | $\$ 5,198,865,418.56$ | 1.000051 | 48 | 68 |
| $1 / 26 / 2014$ | $0.0293 \%$ | 0.000000803 | $\$ 5,198,865,418.56$ | 1.000051 | 48 | 68 |
| $1 / 27 / 2014$ | $0.0306 \%$ | 0.000000837 | $\$ 5,233,282,287.15$ | 1.000052 | 52 | 68 |
| $1 / 28 / 2014$ | $0.0302 \%$ | 0.000000828 | $\$ 5,349,871,777.37$ | 1.000047 | 50 | 72 |
| $1 / 29 / 2014$ | $0.0325 \%$ | 0.000000891 | $\$ 5,382,585,883.19$ | 1.000050 | 51 | 70 |
| $1 / 30 / 2014$ | $0.0328 \%$ | 0.000000899 | $\$ 5,504,168,101.46$ | 1.000046 | 50 | 71 |
| $1 / 31 / 2014$ | $0.0350 \%$ | 0.000000958 | $\$ 5,518,659,649.58$ | 1.000048 | 50 | 69 |
| 12020 | $0.0303 \%$ | 0.000000831 | $\$ 5,127,317,431.75$ |  | 69 | 49 |
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CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## AGENDA ITEM \#13 SUMMARY

Briefing on procedures to establish the speed limit for Mobility Authority roadways.

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility given that the posted speed limit conveys traveler information to the driver to facilitate safe passage.

Department: Engineering
Associated Costs: N/A
Funding Source: N/A
Board Action Required: No

Description of Matter:
This briefing will outline the authority and procedures for establishing speed limits on turnpike facilities constructed and maintained by the Mobility Authority as enumerated in Section 545.354 of the Texas Transportation Code which additionally references TxDOT's Procedures for Establishing Speed Zones.

Reference documentation:
Texas Transportation Code Section 545.354; Powerpoint presentation, "How Speed Limits are Established."

Contact for further information:
Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

[^10]
## Briefing on How Speed Limits are Established

February 26, 2014

## Interim Speed Limits - New/Reconstructed Highways

- Shall be determined and posted before opening highway to traffic
- Traffic and engineering investigation required for determination


## Interim Speed Limits - New/Reconstructed Highways

## Investigation will include review of:

- Statutory prima facie applicable
- Design speed
- Trial-run speed study


## Interim Speed Limits - New/Reconstructed Highways

## Statutory Prima Facie (for passenger cars):

- City street - 30 mph
- County roads - 60 mph
- State highways - 70 mph*
*RMA's can establish speed limits up to $75 \mathrm{mph} /$ TTC up to 85 mph


## Design Speeds:

| Facility | Minimum |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mainlanes - Urban | $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ m p h}$ |
| Mainlanes - Rural | $\mathbf{7 0} \mathbf{~ m p h}$ |

## Interim Speed Limits - New/Reconstructed Highways

## Trial Run:

- A drive through of the roadway at various chosen speeds near and at the prima facie speed to determine if the speeds are appropriate for the area


## Interim Speed Limits - New/Reconstructed Highways

- Interim speed limit shall be posted based on those factors using engineering judgment (prior to opening)
- Once speeds have stabilized, $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed study should be conducted


## Speed checks should:

- Be made on avg week day at offpeak
- Be made during good weather - Include only free flowing vehicles
- Include 125 vehicles minimum each direction


Examples of factors that allow up to a 12 mph adjustment * to the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed:

- Narrow lane widths
- High driveway density
- Crash history exceeding statewide norm
- Lack of shoulders
- Limited sight distance
(* maximum adjustment allowed would penalize over 50\% of drivers)
- Breaks in speed distribution curve at 15\% and 85\% indicate lack of normality
(i.e. unreasonably fast or slow drivers)
- 85\% of drivers are safe and prudent (in theory)
- A 7 mph reduction below the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile penalizes 25\% of drivers


SPEED (M.P.H.)

- A trial run at the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed should then be conducted for length of roadway to look for irregularities that might require advisory signing (i.e. curves, etc.)
- Finally, the signs should be replaced where $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed differs from the interim speed posted


## $85^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Speed Limits

Responsibility for setting speed limits:

- Main lanes - Mobility Authority
- Frontage Roads - TxDOT*

Action to codify:

- Mobility Authority resolution
* assumes TxDOT will maintain frontage roads


CENTRAL TEXAS
Regional Mobility Authority


## Executive Director's report.

# CENTRAL TEXAS <br> Regional Mobility Authority 

| Strategic Plan Relevance: | Regional Mobility |
| :--- | :--- |
| Department: | Executive |
| Associated Costs: | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Funding Source: | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Board Action Required: | No |
| Description of Matter: |  |

A. Project Status Updates, including communications update on the MoPac Improvement Project.
B. Traffic lights at 183A frontage roads and Hero Way.
C. Board Retreat.
D. Update on Carma Pilot Project.
E. Update on smartphone app to address MoPac-related congestion.

Reference documentation:
Executive Director's report
Contact for further information:
Mike Heiligenstein, Executive Director

[^11]

CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

# REPORT TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS 

February 26, 2014
Mike Heiligenstein - Executive Director

## MoPac Improvement Project

The MoPac Improvement Project is on schedule, with construction at $15 \%$ complete. Final design and the permitting processes continue and should be completed this spring. Pre-casting of beams and sound walls will soon begin. The team continues construction outreach, including: responding to $24 / 7$ hotline, putting content on 800 AM , tweeting, blogging, and updating the website.


Work continues on clearing of vegetation, placement of erosion control devices, temporary lighting, rough grading, and removal of guard fence and existing railing.


CH 2 M Hill is completing temporary restriping and setting barriers for Segment 2B (US183 to RM2222) and Segment 3 (RM2222 to Enfield).


Traffic advisory signs have been placed in areas outside the construction zone to alert motorists of upcoming activity, such as this sign south of Loop 360.

## Manor Expressway Phase II Project



Plans are moving forward on a May 17 Grand Opening ceremony for Manor Expressway

Central Texas Mobility Constructors continue to finish the project in anticipation of a May $17^{\text {th }}$ Grand Opening ceremony. Activities include:

- Paving access ramps and shoulders along the main lanes where the concrete pavement on the main lanes is substantially completed.
- Constructing retaining walls and installing wall coping on multiple walls.
- Setting trusses for the overhead sign bridges.
- Constructing sidewalks and the shared-use path.
- Installing the median cable barrier and miscellaneous concrete rip-rap.
- Dressing and stabilizing slopes.
- Constructing beds for the landscaped trees.
- Installing tolling and ITS equipment; the Systems Integrator will begin initial testing of the equipment in the near term.


## Oak Hill Parkway Environmental Study

- A public workshop was held February 4 with Waldorf School and other nearby stakeholders to discuss the transition of the freeway back to the existing highway on the western terminus.
- The modeling of travel times required by the screening criteria has been completed.
- The team has participated in ongoing meetings with TxDOT/City of Austin to investigate feasibility of regional detention.
- Next steps include implementing the refined screening criteria to narrow alternatives, finalizing material for a public funding workshop and developing the outreach process for context sensitive solutions all in preparation for the next Open House in May.


## MoPac South Environmental Study

- The Mobility Authority has received concurrence from FHWA on the study limits and that an Environmental Assessment is the appropriate document for the study.
- Traffic volumes have been collected and are under analysis.
- Consideration of input from the stakeholder meetings and public meeting is underway in the development of a Purpose and Need Statement and alternatives.


## MoPac Intersections Environmental Study

- Based on public input, technical constraints, costs, and environmental considerations, the build alternative for the Intersection Study will focus on extending the MoPac main lanes under the intersections of Slaughter Lane and La Crosse. Bike and pedestrian connections are under consideration include bike lanes, sidewalks, and connections to existing trails.


## 183 North Mobility Project

Following a weather-related postponement on January 28 an Open House took place Feb 18 at Anderson High School. The draft Purpose and Need Statement as well as preliminary alternatives were presented to the public.

## Mobility Authority News

## Traffic Signal to be Installed on 183A Frontage Road and Hero Way



Following the completion of a traffic signal warrant analysis, the Mobility Authority will soon install a fullyoperational, four-way traffic signal at the intersection of the 183A frontage road and Hero Way in Leander. A warrant analysis had already been underway for several weeks when a traffic fatality occurred at the intersection on Jan. 10.

The analysis is a step-by-step process outlined by the State of Texas to determine whether a traffic signal may be installed on Texas roads. It evaluates eight criteria such as physical conditions around the intersection, traffic volume and congestion, pedestrian and school activity, accident history, and other factors that determine whether an intersection warrants a signal. The criteria are used because a traffic signal can, under certain conditions, cause traffic delays or rear-end collisions.

The analysis found that conditions at the intersection now allow for a traffic signal to be installed. Following installation and testing, the signal is expected to be activated as soon as possible.

## Calendar of Events

Carma Ribbon Cutting - Feb. 27, 10 am
Board of Directors Meeting - March 26, 9:30 am


# AGENDA ITEM \#15 SUMMARY 

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

# CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority 

## Executive Session:

Discuss legal issues related to claims by or against the Mobility Authority, pending or contemplated litigation and any related settlement offers; or other matters as authorized by $\$ 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney; Closed Meeting).


# AGENDA ITEM \#16 SUMMARY 

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

## CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## Executive Session:

Discuss legal issues relating to procurement and financing of Mobility Authority transportation projects, as authorized by $\$ 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).


CENTRAL TEXAS Regional Mobility Authority

## AGENDA ITEM \#17 SUMMARY

Authorize issuing a request for qualifications for a design-build contract to develop the Bergstrom Expressway (183 South) Project, and designate Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering, as the Authority's representative for that procurement process and for the duration of the work on the project.

Strategic Plan Relevance: Regional Mobility
Department: Engineering
Associated Costs: None

Funding Source: General Fund, Reimbursed per Advanced Funding Agreement with TxDOT (CAMPO ST PMM funds)

Board Action Required: Yes
Description of Matter:
The issuance of a Request for Qualifications is the first step in procuring a design-build contractor for the Bergstrom Expressway (183 South) project.

Reference documentation: Draft Resolution

Contact for further information: Wesley M. Burford, P.E., Director of Engineering

# GENERAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE <br> CENTRAL TEXAS REGIONAL MOBILITY AUTHORITY 

RESOLUTION NO. 14- $\qquad$


#### Abstract

AUTHORIZING ISSUING A REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS FOR A DESIGNBUILD CONTRACT TO DEVELOP THE BERGSTROM EXPRESSWAY (183 SOUTH)

PROJECT, AND DESIGNATING WESLEY M. BURFORD, P.E., DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING, AS THE AUTHORITY'S REPRESENTATIVE FOR THAT PROCUREMENT PROCESS AND FOR THE DURATION OF THE WORK ON THE PROJECT.


WHEREAS, Subchapter K, Chapter 370, Transportation Code, authorizes the Mobility Authority to use a design-build method to develop its transportation projects, including the proposed Bergstrom Expressway (183 South) Project (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, Subchapter A, Article 7, Chapter 4 of the Mobility Authority Policy Code implements applicable state law and establishes the process the Mobility Authority will use to solicit proposals for a design-build contract to develop the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors desires to initiate that process by authorizing issuance of a request for qualifications (an "RFQ") to develop the Project using the design-build method.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors hereby authorizes and directs the Executive Director to issue an RFQ to develop the Project using the design-build method authorized by state law; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to Section 370.403, Transportation Code, the Board of Directors hereby designates Wes Burford, P.E., the Director of Engineering for the Mobility Authority, to act as the Mobility Authority's representative for the procurement process and for the duration of the work on the Project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors hereby authorizes and directs the Executive Director to take such other actions as may be necessary to implement the design-build method procurement process to develop the Project, consistent with applicable state law and the Mobility Authority Policy Code, and to review the RFQ responses received, assess such responses based on the criteria identified in the RFQ, and prepare a recommendation to the Board of Directors regarding a short-list of firms to further participate in the design-build method procurement process and to receive and respond to a request for detailed proposals issued by the Mobility Authority in accordance with state law and the Policy Code.
[Signatures on following page]

Adopted by the Board of Directors of the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority on the $26^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2014.

Submitted and reviewed by:

Andrew Martin
General Counsel for the Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority

Approved:

Ray A. Wilkerson
Chairman, Board of Directors
Resolution Number: 14-
Date Passed: 2/26/2014
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[^8]:    This information is an excerpt from an economic report dated January 2014 provided to TexSTAR by JP Morgan Asset Management, Inc., the investment manager of the TexSTAR pool.

[^9]:    Market value of collateral supporting the Repurchase Agreements is at least $102 \%$ of the Book Value. The portfolio is managed by J.P. Morgan Chase \& Co. and the assets are safekept in a separate custodial account at the Federal Reserve Bank in the name of TexSTAR. The only source of payment to the Participants are the assets of TexSTAR. There is no secondary source of payment for the pool such as insurance or guarantee. Should you require a copy of the porffolio, please contact TexSTAR Participant Services.
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